Inward inversion of capped-end frusta as impact energy absorbers
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Abstract

Results of an experimental investigation on the quasi-static axial inward inversion of right circular frusta are given. Effects of wall thickness, frustum angle and material on inversion were studied by quasi-static as well as drop hammer dynamic tests. Finite element (FE) modeling and analysis of the deformation modes are presented. The results of the experimental and the FE analyses are discussed. A good agreement is reported between the force histories predicted by the FE study and the experimental results.
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1. Introduction

Plastic energy absorbers are systems which possess the capacity to convert kinetic energy into permanent deformation in thin collapsible structures. The absorbed energy is irreversible and its magnitude depends on the material and shape of the absorber, loading rate and deformation pattern of the absorber [1].

One of the main functions of the absorber is to reduce the risk of injury or damage by controlling the deceleration pulse during impact. This is achieved by extending the period...
of dissipation of the kinetic energy of the system over a finite period of time. Cushioning
devices on vehicle bumpers, crash retards in emergency systems of lifts and crash barriers
used, as roadblocks are everyday examples.

The geometrical shape of collapsible energy absorbers can be circular [2], square [3],
multicorner [4] and frusta [5]. Frusta are employed over a wide range of applications,
especially in the domains of aerospace and armaments. Common examples occur in the
nose cones of missiles and aircraft.

The plastic deformations in tubular structures are generally attributed to either lateral
or axial loading. Investigations often lead to accounting for geometrical changes, interactions
between modes of collapse, strain hardening and strain rate effects. Johnson
and Reid [6] identified the dominant modes of deformation in simple structural elements in
the form of circular and hexagonal cross-section tubes when these elements were
subjected to various forms of quasi-static loading. They described the load–deformation
characteristics of a number of these elements.

Thin-walled absorbers having symmetrical cross-sections may collapse in concertina or
diamond mode or a mixture of both when subjected to axial loads [2]. The collapse of such
components by splitting [7] or by inversion [8] is also reported.

The behavior of axially loaded thin tubes (large diameter to thickness ratio) has been of
particular interest since the pioneering works of Alexander [2]. Circular tubes under axial
compression are reported to be one of the most prevalent components in energy absorber
systems [2]. In comparing lateral with axial compression, the axial mode has a specific
energy absorbing capacity, which is approximately 10 times that of the same tube when
compressed laterally between flat plates [1].

Postlethwaite and Mills [5] used Alexander’s extensible collapse analysis to predict the
mean crushing force for the concertina mode of deformation for frusta made of mild steel.

Mamalis and Johnson [9] investigated experimentally the crumbling of aluminum frusta subjected to axial compression load under quasi-static conditions. They proposed
empirical relationships for both the concertina and the diamond modes of deformation.
Mamalis et al. [10] extended their experimental study to include mild steel at elevated
strain rates and concluded that the deformation modes of frusta could be classified as (a)
concertina, (b) concertina-diamond, and (c) diamond. Mamalis and associates [11]
produced a refined model of Postlethwaite and Mills [5] and obtained a better prediction
for the mean crushing load. In another paper, Mamalis and his group [12] modeled the
progressive extensible collapse of frusta and gave a theoretical model that depicts the
changes in peaks and troughs of the experimental load–displacement curves. The
comparison with the experimental results gave a fair degree of accuracy.

Extensive experimental studies were reported on the performance of compressed frusta
subjected to quasi-static and dynamic axial loadings by Alghamdi [13], Aljawi and
Alghamdi [14], Aljawi and Alghamdi [15], Alghamdi et al. [16,17] and by El-Sobky et al.
[18], Gupta and Abbas [19] presented a mathematical procedure for the calculation of the
variation of crushing load for the axisymmetric axial crushing of thin frusta.

The above studies on frusta deal with axial crushing (or crumbling) of frusta between
two parallel plates. A new mode of axial deformation of frusta was reported by Alghamdi
[13] and Aljawi and Alghamdi [15]. This mode comprises of inward (outside-in, free or
direct inversion. In what follows, the authors' results are presented for experimental and finite element modeling studies conducted on the inward inversion of capped-end frusta.

2. Experimental

Table 1 summarizes the physical dimensions and masses of the frusta that were manufactured and tested. Most of the specimens were manufactured by manual spinning from 1050P blanks of commercial aluminum alloy sheets with size of 1.0 m in width and 2.0 m in length and few were made of mild steel and nylon plastic. The blanks were 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 mm in thickness, and the frusta had the angles shown in Table 1. These frusta were inverted at quasi-static condition by the use of a 10-ton Instron universal testing machine (UTM), at a constant crosshead speed of 10 mm/min. Other specimens were inverted using a free-falling drop hammer facility (DHF) of 6.0 m maximum drop height, and a free-falling hammerhead of up to 6.9 kg.

A special jig consisting of an inversion rod, locating rings, and a base cylinder, was manufactured for the inversion process (see Fig. 1). The upper jaw of the UTM held the inversion rod, whereas the base rested on the lower jaw. The same jig was utilized with the DHF, in which case the inversion rod was simply attached to the falling weight.

3. Finite element modeling

The finite element method (FEM) has been used extensively to simulate applications in structural dynamics [20–23]. In the present study, the ABAQUS (explicit and implicit) code (version 5.8) was used to investigate the inversion of frusta under quasi-static and dynamic loading conditions [24].

A two-dimensional axisymmetric discretized FE model, shown in Fig. 1, was considered. The model, which represents a frustum loaded axially, consists of four parts. These are the frustum, rigid surfaces, representing the inversion rod and locating rings, a mass element, representing the hammer striker, and a contact link that allows the energy to be transferred from the striker to the frustum using the surface interaction. For the quasi-static case no mass was considered for the striker. Due to symmetry, half of the frustum was utilized for mesh generation (Fig. 1). Four-noded axisymmetric continuum elements, suitable for large deformation plasticity, were used. To this end, CAX4R was selected for the explicit analysis, and CAX4 for the implicit analysis. Rigid bodies representing the inversion rod and the locating ring were modeled with two-noded rigid elements (RAX2). In order to prevent sliding at the proximal ends, a coefficient of friction of $\mu = 0.3$ was incorporated between the rigid body surface and the upper small cap, and a value of 0.1 was assumed for the lower edge of the frustum. The number of elements ranged from 100 to 120 along the side and the upper small-capped-end of the frustum. Three elements were selected across the thickness. The particular number of elements along the side and the upper small cap was selected based on the mesh analysis size.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen no.</th>
<th>D (mm)</th>
<th>d (mm)</th>
<th>α (°)</th>
<th>t (mm)</th>
<th>h (mm)</th>
<th>L (mm)</th>
<th>H/η</th>
<th>L/η</th>
<th>M (g)</th>
<th>P_{avg} (N)</th>
<th>E (J)</th>
<th>Sp. E (J/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30102</td>
<td>72.04</td>
<td>24.52</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>12.212</td>
<td>712.7</td>
<td>17.68</td>
<td>1.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30152</td>
<td>72.26</td>
<td>25.56</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>11.07</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>27.68</td>
<td>1.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30202</td>
<td>72.94</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>24.464</td>
<td>2231</td>
<td>49.98</td>
<td>2.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30252</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27.64</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>29.951</td>
<td>2754</td>
<td>63.89</td>
<td>2.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30302</td>
<td>71.22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.74</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>34.205</td>
<td>4167</td>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>3.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35102</td>
<td>69.74</td>
<td>23.22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>11.841</td>
<td>895.0</td>
<td>25.96</td>
<td>2.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35152</td>
<td>69.56</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>15.944</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>41.94</td>
<td>2.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35202</td>
<td>69.48</td>
<td>25.62</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>22.143</td>
<td>2612</td>
<td>62.69</td>
<td>2.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35252</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>26.26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>31.889</td>
<td>3437</td>
<td>93.49</td>
<td>2.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35302</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>27.26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>35.991</td>
<td>5113</td>
<td>173.9</td>
<td>4.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40102</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>13.537</td>
<td>933.1</td>
<td>32.47</td>
<td>2.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40152</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>23.46</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>18.057</td>
<td>1564</td>
<td>54.42</td>
<td>3.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40202</td>
<td>72.98</td>
<td>24.54</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>25.804</td>
<td>2869</td>
<td>94.10</td>
<td>3.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40252</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>25.78</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>21.58</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>30.023</td>
<td>4381</td>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>5.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40302</td>
<td>73.24</td>
<td>26.34</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>38.626</td>
<td>4458</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>3.4232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45102</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>22.64</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>24.66</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>14.222</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td>49.36</td>
<td>3.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45152</td>
<td>71.75</td>
<td>23.16</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>25.72</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>31.07</td>
<td>19.37</td>
<td>1847</td>
<td>80.33</td>
<td>4.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45202</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>24.76</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>13.42</td>
<td>22.89</td>
<td>27.408</td>
<td>3647</td>
<td>158.6</td>
<td>5.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45252</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>25.28</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>26.02</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>10.84</td>
<td>17.71</td>
<td>34.385</td>
<td>4397</td>
<td>186.9</td>
<td>5.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45302</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>26.52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>44.202</td>
<td>5675</td>
<td>263.9</td>
<td>5.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50102</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>22.46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>32.22</td>
<td>60.56</td>
<td>14.53</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>64.03</td>
<td>4.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50152</td>
<td>71.88</td>
<td>23.26</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>30.54</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>25.45</td>
<td>44.58</td>
<td>19.737</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>5.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50202</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>24.46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>31.32</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>27.601</td>
<td>3596</td>
<td>192.1</td>
<td>6.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50252</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>32.48</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>26.558</td>
<td>4901</td>
<td>259.7</td>
<td>7.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50302</td>
<td>74.92</td>
<td>26.94</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>33.24</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>47.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55102</td>
<td>71.46</td>
<td>22.98</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>36.84</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>40.93</td>
<td>71.67</td>
<td>16.085</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>80.40</td>
<td>4.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55152</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>36.04</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>27.72</td>
<td>52.31</td>
<td>22.647</td>
<td>2151</td>
<td>148.2</td>
<td>6.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55202</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>20.24</td>
<td>35.56</td>
<td>32.152</td>
<td>3732</td>
<td>238.8</td>
<td>7.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55252</td>
<td>73.94</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>32.86</td>
<td>40.123</td>
<td>5659</td>
<td>390.5</td>
<td>9.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55302</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>26.82</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>37.06</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>13.73</td>
<td>24.63</td>
<td>49.297</td>
<td>7241</td>
<td>481.5</td>
<td>9.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0102</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>43.53</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>17.504</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>97.12</td>
<td>5.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0152</td>
<td>72.46</td>
<td>24.08</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>75.55</td>
<td>23.551</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>182.8</td>
<td>7.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0202</td>
<td>73.52</td>
<td>25.28</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>45.64</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>28.53</td>
<td>52.31</td>
<td>33.289</td>
<td>4107</td>
<td>343.8</td>
<td>10.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0252</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>26.24</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>23.35</td>
<td>42.25</td>
<td>43.444</td>
<td>5854</td>
<td>494.7</td>
<td>11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D0302</td>
<td>76.48</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>19.67</td>
<td>36.21</td>
<td>54.78</td>
<td>7161</td>
<td>622.3</td>
<td>11.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5103</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>66.63</td>
<td>142.5</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>147.7</td>
<td>7.774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5152</td>
<td>72.48</td>
<td>23.22</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>54.58</td>
<td>104.3</td>
<td>49.62</td>
<td>94.81</td>
<td>26.237</td>
<td>2446</td>
<td>255.1</td>
<td>9.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5202</td>
<td>71.88</td>
<td>24.62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>36.22</td>
<td>69.33</td>
<td>34.734</td>
<td>4029</td>
<td>419.0</td>
<td>12.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5252</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>55.54</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>29.23</td>
<td>54.42</td>
<td>49.009</td>
<td>6159</td>
<td>636.8</td>
<td>12.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5302</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>26.88</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>25.59</td>
<td>47.18</td>
<td>55.598</td>
<td>7586</td>
<td>787.4</td>
<td>14.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0102</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>98.57</td>
<td>191.4</td>
<td>21.696</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>197.4</td>
<td>9.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0152</td>
<td>72.49</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68.86</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>68.86</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>28.522</td>
<td>2274</td>
<td>291.0</td>
<td>10.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0202</td>
<td>72.78</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>68.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40.119</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Stopped</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5102</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>93.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>133.63</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.808</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Stopped</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5152</td>
<td>72.56</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94.42</td>
<td>165.7</td>
<td>94.52</td>
<td>165.7</td>
<td>37.543</td>
<td>2482</td>
<td>411.2</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5202</td>
<td>73.24</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>94.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72.57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50.285</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Stopped</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P30</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>31.77</td>
<td>7.940</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>15.412</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>43.12</td>
<td>2.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P135</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>19.45</td>
<td>18.786</td>
<td>3560</td>
<td>178.7</td>
<td>9.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P60</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>23.82</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>26.436</td>
<td>4718</td>
<td>424.6</td>
<td>16.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S30</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>31.77</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>31.77</td>
<td>36.823</td>
<td>3697</td>
<td>232.9</td>
<td>6.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S135</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>39.185</td>
<td>5396</td>
<td>259.0</td>
<td>6.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S60</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>47.63</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>59.762</td>
<td>7618</td>
<td>769.5</td>
<td>12.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*D*, large diameter; *d*, small diameter; *h*, axial length; *t*, wall thickness; *m*, mass (g); *α*, angle of the frusta; *P<sub>ave</sub>* average load; *L*, max displacement; *Eng, energy*(g).
Material properties of the model were taken as rigid perfectly plastic, with yield strength ($\sigma_y$) of 125 MPa, mass density ($\rho$) of 2800 kg/m$^3$, Poisson’s ratio ($\nu$) of 0.33, and modulus of elasticity ($E$) of 69 GPa.

The boundary conditions imposed on the model were to constrain all the nodes on left side resembling plane of symmetry. The model is assumed to move only orthogonal to the model. The reference nodes located at the tip of the upper rigid body, the inversion rod; and on the lower rigid body, were also constrained. It is to be noted that the upper rigid body, shown in Fig. 1, can carry the relatively large mass element, representing the striker, and generates the impact loading of the frusta.

4. Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows an experimental load-displacement curve for specimen no. 40102, having a semi-apical angle of 50°. The behaviors of other specimens were similar. It is obvious that the deformation passes through a number of stages. In the first stage, the load rises quasi-linearly from the origin until it reaches its initial maximum instability load ($P_{\text{max}}$), where a circular plastic zone forms, point a in Fig. 2. The load, afterwards, decreases smoothly to a certain extent, until it reaches its first minimum load, $P_{\text{min}}$, point b. The zone between a and b is a zone of incubation, within which the cap of the frustum is deformed in such a manner as to facilitate the inversion type of deformation. Two localized plastic zones are developed from point a to b on $P$-$\delta$ curve shown in Fig. 2, and an extensible mode of deformation is observed.

As the load continues, the plastic zone extends towards the larger (lower) end of the frustum, until point c is reached (see Fig. 2). The increase in the inversion force from b to c is attributed to the progressive increase in the volume of the deformation zone with the increasing $D/h$ ratio.
Fig. 2. Load-displacement curve for capped aluminum frustum direct inversion, specimen no. 40102, at $\alpha = 40^\circ$, large diameter, $D = 72.0$ mm, small diameter, $d = 22.66$ mm, and height, $h = 21.32$ mm.

Point c signals the termination of the inversion zone, the bending front having reached the vicinity of the free large end of the frustum. From point c to d inversion mode changes into a flattening mode, and the undeformed part of the frustum has the shape of a Belleville spring. The free end of the frustum is flattened parallel to the shoulder of the jig base. A typical image of the direct inversion of capped aluminum frustum in the region between b and c of specimen no. 65252 is shown in Fig. 3.

Samples of load-displacement curves for specimens listed in Table 1 are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Fig. 4 shows the load-displacement curves of aluminum frusta of similar thickness ($t = 1$ mm) but of different apical angles. The performances of these specimens are identical, but the displacement increases with the increase in the angle. However, deformation pattern of frusta with large angles ($\alpha = 70$ and 75$^\circ$) includes extensible collapse mode of deformation [10]. This could be the reason for not being able to invert frusta with the larger angles presented in Table 1.

Load-displacement curves of frusta with different thicknesses and at similar angles ($\alpha = 30^\circ$) are presented in Fig. 5. It is obvious that the deformation pattern is repeated and the average inversion force increases as the frusta become thicker. Identical frusta having the same geometry but made of different materials were subjected to inversion loading. As is expected, low-carbon steel absorb more energy during inversion than that made of either nylon plastic or frusta made of aluminum. The progress of deformation of a frustum (specimen P60) is captured in Fig. 6.

From these figures, it can be observed that the load-displacement curves of all frusta closely follow the four stages of deformation mode explained earlier, and that the load increases with the increase in wall thickness for frusta with different angles. This increase becomes very substantial in frusta of larger wall thicknesses and larger angles. However,
the mode of collapse (complete inward inversion of the upper end of frusta) is not affected by the wall thickness and the apical angle.

Variations in load–displacement curves may be attributed to the nonuniformity in the wall thickness of the loaded frusta. These differences arise due to the spinning process.

![Graph](image.png)

**Fig. 4.** Experimental quasi-static load–displacement curves of aluminum frusta for different angles $\alpha$ of 30, 35, 40, 45, 70, and 75°, and nominal thickness of 1 mm.
Fig. 5. Experimental quasi-static load–displacement curves of aluminum frusta for angles $\alpha$ of 35°, and different thickness.

As may be expected, the stroke length, i.e. the maximum displacement achieved by the inversion process, increases with increasing $\alpha$ since all specimens were fabricated such that they all have the same upper and lower diameters. However, for specimens 50302, 70202, 75102, and 75202, this stroke was not completed, as the frusta collapsed by rupture at the leading edge of the smaller diameter before the completion of inversion.

The average load and specific energy dissipated during plastic deformation throughout the inversion process are summarized in Table 1. The range of $h/t$ ratio varies from 5 to

Fig. 6. Experimental deformed plots for inward inversion of specimen P60 frustum captured at 0, 10, 20, 50, 70, 80, and 90 mm, respectively.
134 for angles ranging from 30 to 75°. It may be verified that the average load increases, nearly linearly, with increasing angle of frustum. For high values of \( h/l \) (thin and long frusta), the specific energy is low when compared with that of low values of \( h/l \).

Only the load–displacement curves for plastic frusta made by machining are listed in Table 1. It is clear that load–displacement curves for frusta made from plastic materials are similar to those obtained by aluminum frusta.

The possibility of re-using the inverted frusta was also investigated. Several tests were conducted for inversion and then re-inversion of the inverted frusta. Fig. 7 shows the load–displacement curves for inversion and re-inversion of one specimen, indicating that it is possible to invert and re-invert the frustum of certain geometric proportions, but not all. This particular specimen failed, however, during its fourth inversion.

Fig. 8 shows experimental findings along with FE load–displacement predictions for specimens 35102 and 60102. It may be observed that there is generally good agreement between the experimental results and the FE predictions except at the beginning of inversion. The deviation occurs at the beginning of the first stage, where ABAQUS analysis starts at a large load, and decreases to the point of the elastic recoverable region. This sizable discrepancy is due to the fact that ABAQUS assumes that the plastic behavior of the material is described by its yield point, corresponding to zero initial plastic strain.

The experimentally measured specific energies absorbed during the inward inversion by specimens 35102 and 60102 were 2.192 and 5.549 J/kg, whereas those predicted by FE were 2.55 and 6.07 J/kg, respectively. The slight overshoot of the FE predictions may be attributed to the sudden increase of the load within the elastic phase.

Different stages of the inversion process, as predicted by the FE analysis, are presented in Fig. 9. It may be noted that the second and the sixth stages indicate the initiation and termination of the inversion process, respectively.

![Fig. 7. Load–displacement curves for inversion and re-inversion of capped aluminum frusta.](image-url)
Fig. 8. Experimental and FE load-displacement curves for quasi-static inward inversion of capped aluminum frustum of specimens no. (a) 60102, and (b) 35102.

Fig. 10 shows a comparison between the deformed frusta produced by experiment and that predicted by FE. Here, a symmetrical half of the frustum is seen before and after the inversion, as predicted by the FE analysis as well as a photograph of the frustum. Excellent agreement can be observed between the two deformed shapes.

In order to assess the effect of speed on the process of inversion, identical frusta were tested using UTM at crosshead speeds of 2, 20 and 200 mm/min. Additional tests
Fig. 9. ABAQUS deformed plots for inward inversion of capped aluminum frustum at eight different stages of deformation, at 0.4, 5.8, 7.1, 19.1, 54.9, 76.9, 81, and 82.5 mm, of specimen no. 60102.

were conducted on the DHF using different falling masses. Impact velocities of up to 7 m/s were used in these tests. Velocities were calculated using the relationship $V = \sqrt{2 \times 9.81 \times h}$ where $h$ is the drop height. It was observed that all specimens in these tests showed similar behavior as in quasi-static tests. The geometric shapes of

Fig. 10. Comparison between the experimental and finite element analysis of a frustum before and after inversion.
the frusta inverted under quasi-static condition were very similar to those inverted under
dynamic conditions.

Since generally good agreement was obtained between experimental findings and
FE predictions in quasi-static conditions, it was considered plausible to expect FE
analysis to provide insight into inversion during the dynamic loading of frusta. To this
end, a number of runs were made on the ABAQUS. Thus, Fig. 11 depicts the
prediction of the effect of crosshead speeds on load-displacement curves. For the
predictions, both the quasi-static implicit software and the dynamic explicit version
were run at constant velocities of \( v = 0.1 \) and \( 5.0 \) m/s, which correspond to 2.63 and
131.6 strain/s. It seems evident from Fig. 11 that the inversion process is not affected
by the loading rate at low impact velocities.

For the high speed tests, a striker of mass 6.9 kg was assumed, and the impact
velocities were in the range of 3–20 m/s. Results of the dynamic inversion testing are
listed in Table 2, and depicted in Figs. 12 and 13. The results seem to indicate that
increasing the impact velocity has no effect on the modes of deformation. In fact,
complete inward inversion took place for striker velocities higher than 5.74 m/s, while
the striker rebound when the velocities is equal or less than 5.74 m/s (see Fig. 13). It
is interesting to note that, at zero rebound velocity, the striker will remain in contact
with the fully inverted frustum.

Fig. 12 shows that for higher impact velocities, less time is required for complete
inward inversion. Although, more kinetic energy is provided by the striker, the energy
dissipated due to plastic deformation (or the average load) remains constant. The
deformation is mostly dominated by bending, starting by hinge-rotation deformation at
the leading edge of the upper cup and continues until a complete inversion of the
frustum.
for the strain rates to be both velocity and mass sensitive. The strain rate can be expressed by the Cowper–Symonds [25] empirical power law

$$\dot{\varepsilon}(\ddot{\varepsilon}) = \sigma_0(\ddot{\varepsilon}) \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{D} \right)^\rho \right]$$

where $\sigma_0(\ddot{\varepsilon})$ is the quasi-static yield stress, $\dot{\varepsilon}(\ddot{\varepsilon})$ denotes the dynamic yield stress, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is the strain rate, and $D$ and $\rho$ are material constants to be determined experimentally. The values

Fig. 14. Load-time curves due to dynamic impact energy of 345 J on specimen 60102 with different striker mass and velocity of: $v = 5$ m/s and mass = 27.6 kg, $v = 10$ m/s and mass = 6.9 kg, $v = 20$ m/s and mass = 1.725 kg, and $v = 30$ m/s and mass = 0.7667 kg.
Table 2
Results of dynamic impact loadings of specimen no. 60102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( V_t ) (m/s)</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>( V_i ) (m/s)</th>
<th>( V_f ) (m/s)</th>
<th>( t ) (ms)</th>
<th>( E_{im} ) (J)</th>
<th>( E_{pd} ) (J)</th>
<th>( L ) (mm)</th>
<th>Modes of deformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>19.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.2924</td>
<td>1380.0</td>
<td>114.6</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>1117.8</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.2452</td>
<td>883.2</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>676.2</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>496.8</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>PIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>345.0</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>PIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>124.2</td>
<td>111.7</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>PIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>113.67</td>
<td>110.2</td>
<td>80.44</td>
<td>PIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>86.25</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>PIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>4.077</td>
<td>18.29</td>
<td>345.0</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.666</td>
<td>345.0</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>83.67</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.7667</td>
<td>23.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>345.0</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>83.11</td>
<td>CIWI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( V_t \) terminal velocity; \( V_i \) rebound velocity; \( t \) total time for the inversion or rebound; \( E_{im} \) model input energy; \( E_{pd} \) energy dissipated due to plastic deformation; \( L \) length of the stroke; CIWI, complete inward inversion; PIWI, partial inward inversion.

Fig. 14 shows load variations with time when the frustum is subjected to identical impact energy of 345 J, resulting from different combinations of the striking mass and the initial velocities. Once more, it may be verified from Fig. 14 that the large mass and low velocities do not cause any effect on material behavior. In fact, more time is required to complete the inward inversion.

In dynamically loaded aluminum structures, high-velocity impacts may cause significant strain rates, especially during the initial stages of impact, and it is possible

---

Fig. 12: Load-time curves due to dynamic impact of specimen 60102 with striker mass of 6.9 kg. and initial velocities, \( v = 5.74, 6, 8, 12, 16, \) and 20 m/s.
complete inversion. When $m_s \gg m_r$, it follows that
\[
\sum W_{1-2} \approx E_{pl} = -P_{avg}L \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{r_1}^{r_2} F \, dr = -P_{avg}t
\]
where $E_{pl}$ is the energy dissipated due to plastic deformation, $P_{avg}$ is average load, and $L$ is the stroke length, and $t$ is the time required for complete inversion. Evaluating for quasi-static condition, the above expressions can be simplified to
\[
V_i = \frac{\sqrt{m_s V_i^2 - 2E_{pl}}}{m_s} \quad \text{and} \quad t = \frac{m_s (V_f - V_i)}{P_{avg}}
\]
(3)

Note that for $V_i = 0$ (No rebound), $V_i = \sqrt{\frac{2E_{pl}}{m_s}}$ and $t = \frac{m_s (V_f)}{P_{avg}}$  
(4)

Thus taking specimen 60102 as an application, one finds that complete inversion occurs at $L = 83.2 \text{ mm}$, $P_{avg} = 1373 \text{ N}$, and $E_{pl} = 114.2 \text{ J}$. The minimum velocity of a striker of mass 6.9 kg becomes 5.753 m/s for complete inversion of the frustum without any rebound. The time required for inversion comes out to be 28.912 ms. It may be verified that these values are very close to the corresponding figures obtained by ABAQUS, as listed in Table 2.

6. Conclusions

The results of the experimental part of the investigation on the quasi-static axial inward inversion of right circular frusta indicate that the process of inversion follows a four-stage deformation mode. The load needed for inversion increases with increasing wall thickness and increasing frustum angle. For high values of height $h$ to thickness $t$ ratio, specific energy of deformation is less than that for lower values of $h/t$.

FE predictions of the deformation process are generally in good agreement with the experimental findings.

The process of inward inversion seems to be a new mode of deformation that is repeatable and predictable. Inversion of frusta is achieved by the use of a simple rig. In fact, it was found that a frustum can be inverted several times, indicating that it is possible to re-use the same absorber.
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of $D = 1.288,000 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $p = 4$ are adopted for aluminum [23,26]. Using these properties, Fig. 15 shows the effect of strain rate on the load–displacement curves for a frustum identical to specimen 60102 at a velocity of 20 m/s and mass of 1.725 kg. An increase of the load, and consequently more energy is required for complete inversion. Note that the increase in the energy absorbed by the frustum due to strain-dependent does not change the mode of deformation, i.e. complete inward inversion.

5. Simplified analytical results

A simplified solution, based on data obtained from quasi-static loading conditions, can be obtained for yielding terminal velocities as well as the time required for complete inversion. Thus, considering the conditions of conservation of energy and of linear impulse and momentum

\[ \frac{1}{2} m_s V_i^2 + \sum W_{1-2} = \frac{1}{2} (m_s + m_t) V_f^2 \]

\[ m_s V_i + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} F \, dt = (m_s + m_t) V_f \]

where $m_s$ is the mass of the striker, $V_i$ and $V_f$ are the initial and terminal velocities of the striker, and $m_t$ is the mass of the upper capped of the frustum. $\sum W_{1-2}$ is the work done during the inversion, and $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} F \, dt$ is the impulse force applied during the time required for