Home   About	PR   Editoria	al board   Search   Ahead	l of print   Current Issue	Archives   Instructions	Subscribe Adverti	ise   Contact us   Login	
	A publication of Phcog.Net				S	Search Article	
	Pharmacognosy			Γ	Searc		
10	AR				<u>A</u>	dvanced search	
g 🖶 🖸 49 4	Users On	line:12		💋 Submit Articles On-line	🔶 E-mail Alerts	🔏 Access Statistics 🛛 🐔 Join Us	
		Click here to downloa	ad free Android Application	for this and other journals	Click here to view of	Search	
						GO	
				Previous Article To	DC Next Article 🕨	Similar in PUBMED Search Pubmed for	
ORIGINAL AR	RTICLE	$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{SSU0}} \cdot 2 \mid \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{SSO}} \cdot 160$	165				
	<u>Ayyad SN</u> <u>Ezmirly ST</u>						
Antioxidant, cyto	<ul> <li><u>Basaif SA</u></li> <li>Alarif WM</li> </ul>						
Seif-Eldin N Ayy	yad <sup>1</sup> , <u>Saleh</u>	<u>T Ezmirly<sup>1</sup>, Salim A Bas</u>	saif <sup>1</sup> , <u>Walied M Alarif</u> <sup>2</sup> ,	Adel F Badria <sup>3</sup> , Farid A	Badria <sup>4</sup>	Badria AF	
<sup>1</sup> Department of $^2$ Department of	Chemistry, I Marine Che	Faculty of Science, King	Abdul Aziz University,	P. O. 80203, Jeddah 21:	589, Saudi Arabia	• Badria FA Search in Google Scholar	
Saudi Arabia		monty, racuity of Malli	e Sciences, King Abduli	aziz University, Jeuudii 2	.1.307,1 0 00207,	for	
<sup>3</sup> Tissue Enginee	ering Lab., F	aculty of Dentistry, Alex	candria University, Alex	andria, Egypt	4	• <u>Ayyad SN</u>	
Department of Date of Submiss	sion	01-Feb-2011	cy, Mansoura Oniversity	, Malisoura 55510, Egyp	n.	• Ezmirly ST • Basaif SA	
Date of Decisior	1	06-Apr-2011				<ul> <li><u>Alarif WM</u></li> <li>Badria AF</li> </ul>	
Date of Web Pul	blication	16-Sep-2011				• <u>Badria FA</u>	
Download	Email	Print Read,	/Write Citation			Related articles	
Article (pdf)	Article	Anticle 2 a Con	nment Manager			<u>Antioxidant</u> cytotoxicity	
Correspondence	e Address:					• <u>fucoxanthin</u>	
Seif-Eldin N Ayy Faculty of Science	yad ces. King Al	bdulaziz University. Jedo	lah			• <u>Sargassum sp</u>	
Saudi Arabia						<u>Access Statistics</u> Email Alert *	
Cogin to access the	email ID					Add to My List *	
<b>DOI</b> : 10.4103/09	974-8490.85	000				* Registration required (free)	
<b>PMID:</b> 2202216	53					In this article	
© Get Permissions for commercial us	se					Abstract	
						Introduction Materials and Me	
Abstract						Results and Disc	
Background: M	acroalgae ca	an be viewed as a potenti pounds for its protection	al antioxidant and anti-i	nflammatory sources ow tors such as heat pollution	ing to their	Acknowledgment	
concentration, an	concentration, and UV radiations. <b>Objective</b> : To isolate major compounds which are mainly responsible for the					Article Figures	
air dried, extracted	ed with a mi	ixture of organic solvents	gation, Sargassum sp. M	different adsorbents. The	structures of	Article Tables	
obtained pure con protection of DN	mpounds we	ere elucidated with differ	ent spectroscopic techni nor, and evtotoxicity <b>R</b>	ques, and two pure mate	rials were tested for inds were obtained	Article Access Statistics	
of which fucosterol (1) and fucostantin (4) were tested; it was found that fucostantin has strong antioxidant and					Viewed 656		
compound fucox	anthin could	d be used as antioxidant :	<sub>0</sub> – 11.5 g/mi. <b>Conclus</b> and as an antitumor com	pound.	conjugated safe	Printed 28 Emailed 2	
Variation	and and			1		PDF Downloaded 8	
Keyworas: Anti-	oxidant, cyto	otoxicity, rucoxanthin, S	<i>argassum</i> sp			Comments [Add]	
How to oito this	articles					Cited by others     1       Total downloads in last 2	
Ayyad SN, Ezm	irly ST, Bas	aif SA, Alarif WM, Bad	ria AF, Badria FA. Anti	oxidant, cytotoxic, antitu	mor, and protective	months	
DNA damage m	etabolites fr	om the red sea brown alg	ga Sargassum sp. Phcog	Res 2011;3:160-5		2012 5 May 71	
How to cite this	URL:				_		
Ayyad SN, Ezm	irly ST, Bas	aif SA, Alarif WM, Bad	ria AF, Badria FA. Anti	oxidant, cytotoxic, antitu	mor, and protective		

Ayyad SN, Ezmirly ST, Basaif SA, Alarif WM, Badria AF, Badria FA. Antioxidant, cytotoxic, antitumor, and protect DNA damage metabolites from the red sea brown alga *Sargassum* sp. Phcog Res [serial online] 2011 [cited 2012 Jun 4];3:160-5. Available from: <u>http://www.phcogres.com/text.asp?2011/3/3/160/85000</u>

The genus *Sargassum* belongs to brown algae of class Phaeophyceae in the order Fucales. Numerous species are distributed throughout the tropical and subtropical oceans of the world, where they generally inhabit shallow water and coral reefs. However, the genus may be well known for its planktonic (free-floating) species. <sup>[11]</sup> It was clear from the literatures that more than 62 species of the genus *Sargassum* has been investigated, <sup>[2]</sup> and indicated its productivity with impressive diversity of natural compounds. For instances, the secondary metabolites contain different structural classes such as plastoquinones, <sup>[3],[4],[5]</sup> chromanols, <sup>[6]</sup> chromenes, <sup>[7],[8]</sup> steroids, <sup>[9]</sup> and glycerides. <sup>[10]</sup> The publications showed that, *Sargassum* metabolites have wide range of biological activities, which include antibiotic, anti-HIV, anticoagulant, anticonvulsant, anti-inflammatory, antineoplastic, and antitumor. <sup>[11],[12],[13],[14]</sup>

In continuation of our search program, which interested in the isolation of secondary metabolites from marine sources, especially macroalgae collected from Red Sea, [15],[16],[17] a marine macroalgae, identified as *Sargassum* sp., collected from El-Shuaiba lagoon 80 km south of Jeddah, was investigated. The total extract (Pet. ether: Chloroform: Methanol [1 : 1 : 1]) had been fractionated using different chromatographic techniques and afforded four metabolites; fucosterol (1), saringosterone (2), saringosterol (3), and fucoxanthin (4). **1** and **4** had been tested toward the Bleomycin-dependent DNA damage, cytotoxicity against HepG2 (human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cell line), WI 38 (Skin carcinoma cell line), Vero (cell line was initiated from the kidney of a normal adult African green monkey), MCF-7 (breast cancer cell lines), antitumor, and antioxidant using 2,2`-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS).

Materials and Methods

#### Apparatus and material

Chromatographic material: Aluminum oxide type 60-120 mesh was used for column chromatography. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) silica gel GF 254 was used for TLC. Preparative thin-layer chromatography (PTLC) was performed on aluminum oxide plates (20 x 20 cm) of 250-mm thickness. Electron impact mass spectra were determined at 70 eV on a Kratos MS-25 instrument. 1D and 2D NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE III WM 600 MHz spectrometers and <sup>13</sup> C NMR at 150 MHz Chemical shifts are given in d (ppm) relative to TMS (Tetramethyl silane) as internal standard. The Infra Red (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer spectrometer model 100. The spray reagent used is 50%-sulfuric acid in methanol as spraying reagent. The chromatoplate was heated after spraying at 100 to 105°C until the spots attained maximum color intensity. The alga was described as *Sargassum* (Family *Sargassaceae*) and was collected by hand from El- Shuaiba lagoon 80 km south of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, in the Red Sea, in June 2010.

#### Extraction of Sargassum sp.

The air-dried algal material (350 g) was extracted by equal volume of mixture of Pet. ether, chloroform, and methanol (2 x 6 l, 24 hours for each batch) at room temperature. The extract was concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain 10 g residue. This material was chromatographed on a column of Silica gel.

#### Column chromatography

Silica gel column (500 g, 80 x 2.5 cm) was used for resolution. The residue (10 g) was homogenized with small amount of silica gel (50 g) and poured on to the top of the column which was packed in Pet. ether (40: 60). The eluent were used successively (Pet. ether- Ether, Pet. ether- Ethyl acetate). Fractions were collected (50 ml); Pet. ether- Ether mixture of increasing polarity by diethyl ether and then ethyl acetate and followed by TLC using silica-gel chromatoplates, appropriate solvent system and 50% sulfuric acid in methanol as spraying reagent. If the material was not pure, preparative TLC was applied using the appropriate solvent system and the adsorbent aluminum oxide for purification.

#### **Isolated compounds**

The fraction A eluted by Pet. ether-Ether (6 : 4) was collected and rechromatographed over PTLC of silica gel using Pet. Ether-ethyl acetate (8 : 2) to give three compounds: **1**, **2**, and **3**. The fraction B eluted by Pet. ether-Ethyl acetate (6 : 4) was collected and rechromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 using a mixture of MeOH-CHCl<sub>3</sub> (9 : 1) and then finally purified by preparative TLC of silica gel using chloroform methanol (9.5 : 0.5) to afford a pure compound **4**.

Fucosterol (1), (24*E*0)-Stigmasta-5, 24(28)-diene-3β-ol: white solid (30 mg, 0.008% dry wt) m.p. 133-135°C. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3480 (OH), 1945 (C = C-H), 1640 (C = C), 1370 (gem. Di-Me); EI-MS m/z: 412 [M, C  $_{29}$  H  $_{48}$  O]<sup>+</sup>, 397 [M-CH  $_3$  ]<sup>+</sup>, 379 [M-CH  $_3$  -I<sup>+</sup> , 0]<sup>+</sup>, 314 (100) [M-C  $_6$  H  $_{10}$  O]<sup>+</sup>. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl  $_3$  ) δ (ppm) 0.86- 0.88 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6H, Me-26 and Me-27), 0.91 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me-21), 0.68 (s, 3H, Me-18), 1.00 (s, 3H, Me-19), 1.59 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me-29), 3.53 (m, H, H-3), 5.35 (m, H, H-6), 5.11 (q, H, H-28), 2.22 (sep, *J* = 6.6 Hz, H, H-25). <sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl  $_3$  ) δ (ppm) (39.75, C-1), (35.20, C-2), (71.80, C-3), (42.33, C-4), (140.74, C-5), (121.70, C-6), (36.14, C-7), (35.78, C-8), (50.10, C-9), (39.72, C-10), (28.23, C-11), (42.28, C-12), (42.30, C-13), (56.74, C-14), (31.64, C-15), (34.78, C-16), (56.72, C-17), (11.85, C-18), (19.40, C-19), (39.50, C-20), (24.32, C-21), (37.23, C-22), (31.90, C-23), (146.00, C-24), (33.90, C-25), (22.50, C-26), (22.23, C-27), (115.94, C-28), (18.90, C-29).

Saringosterone (2), 24-vinyl cholest -4-ene -3-one: a colorless oil (10 mg, 0.003% dry wt). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3420 (OH), 1675 (C = O), 1630 (C = C); EIMS m/z (rel. int.): 426 (12) [M, C  $_{29}$  H  $_{46}$  O  $_{2}$ ]<sup>+</sup>, 383 (21) [M<sup>+</sup> -C  $_{3}$  H  $_{7}$ ], 313 (30), 271(35), 269 (100). HREIMS: m/z 426.3413 (calcd. 426.3349) C  $_{29}$  H  $_{46}$  O  $_{2}$ ; <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl  $_{3}$ )  $\delta$  5.76 (dd, J = 17, 12 Hz, H, H-28), 5.73 (br s, H, H-4), 5.27 (d, J = 12 Hz, H, H-29), 5.15 (d, J = 17 Hz, H, H-29), 1.16 (s, 3H, Me-19), 0.95 (d, J =

Recommend this journal for your library

# Google Translate

Google Gadgets powered by Google

Ť

6.5 Hz, 3H, Me-21), 0.86 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, Me-26), 0.84 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H, Me-27), 0.72 (s, 3H, Me-18). <sup>13</sup> C-NMR δ (36.54, C-1), (34.65, C-2), (200.35, C-3), (124.43, C-4), (172.35, C-5), (33.64, C-6), (32.65, C-7), (36.32, C-8), (54.41, C-9), (39.25, C-10), (21.65, C-11), (40.22, C-12), (43.08, C-13), (56.26, C-14), (24.85, C-15), (28.86, C-16), (56.55, C-17), (12.63, C-18), (17.34, C-19), (36.84, C-20), (18.35, C-21), (32.54, C-22), (28.95, C-23), (89.76, C-24), (29.05, C-25), (19.46, C-26), (18.07, C-27), (137.78, C-28), (117.12, C-29).

Saringosterol (3), 24-vinyl cholest-5-ene-3 $\beta$ , 24-diol, saringosterol: colorless oil (5 mg, 0.001% dry wt.). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3445 (OH), 1644 (C=C); EIMS *m*/*z* (rel. int.): 428 (12) [M, C  $_{29}$  H  $_{48}$  O  $_{2}$  ]<sup>+</sup>, 410 (6) [M<sup>+</sup>-H  $_{2}$  O], 314 (40), 273(20),

271 (100), 255 (28), 228 (22), 213 (40), 145 (64). <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) d 5.73 (dd, J=17, 12 Hz, H, H-28), 5.34 (br s, H, H-6), 5.28 (d, J = 12 Hz, H, H-29), 5.17 (d, J = 17 Hz, H, H-29), 3.53 (m, H, H-3), 1.02 (s, 3H, Me-19), 0.97 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me-21), 0.88 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me-26], 0.86 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, Me-27), 0.67 (s, 3H, Me-18], <sup>13</sup> C-NMR d (37.17,C-1), (32.54, C-2), (72.43, C-3), (37.94, C-4), (141.43, C-5), (122.31, C-6), (32.35, C-7), (36.84, C-8), (50.74, C-9), (37.93, C-10), (21.75, C-11), (40.41, C-12), (42.97, C-13), (57.43, C-14), (24.95, C-15), (29.06, C-16), (56.55, C-17), (12.54, C-18), (17.32, C-19), (36.54, C-20), (18.35, C-21), (32.33, C-22), (28.95, C-23), (89.85, C-24), (29.19, C-25), (20.06, C-26), (19.53, C-27), (137.82, C-28), (117.04, C-29).

Fucoxanthin (4): red residue (60 mg, 0.017% dry wt.). IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3439 (OH), 2361 and 2332 (sp-hybrid carbon [allenic]), 1721 and 1253 (ester), and 1654 and 1605 (polyene); HRFAB: 658.4224 (calcd. 658.4233) [C  $_{42}$  H  $_{58}$  O  $_{6}$  ],

460(5), 391(5), 307(50), 154(100), <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub>) d 7.15(d, J = 11.0 Hz, H, H-10), 6.75 (m, H, H-15), 6.66 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, H, H-12), 6.63 (m, H, H-15'), 6.60 (m, H, H-11'), 6.57 (m, H, H-11), 6.41 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, H, H-14), 6.35 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, H, H-12'), 6.27 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, H, H-14'), 6.13 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, H, H-10'), 6.05(br s, H, H-8'), 5.38 (m, H, H-3'), 3.81(m, H, H-3), 3.65 and 2.60 (qAB, J = 18.5 Hz, 2H, H-7), 2.33 and 1.78 (m, 2H, H-4), 2.27 and 1.51 (m, 2H, H-4'), 2.04 (s, 3H, Ac), 2.01 and 1.41(m, 2H, H-2'), 1.99 (s, 6H, H-20, H-20'), 1.95 (s, 3H, H-19), 1.81 (s, 3H, H-19'), 1.47 and 1.37 (m, 2H, H-2), 1.38 (3H, s, H-18'), 1.35 (s, 3H, H-17'), 1.22(s, 3H, H-18), 1.07 (s, 3H, H-16'), 1.03 (s, 3H, H-17), 0.96 (s, 3H, H-16). <sup>13</sup> C-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (35.18, C-1), (47.11, C-2), (64.34, C-3), (41.68, C-4), (66.19, C-5), (67.11, C-6), (40.83, C-7), (197.87, C-8), (134.54, C-9), (139.14, C-10), (123.39, C-11), (145.05, C-12), (136.67, C-13), (135.44, C-14), (132.19, C-15), (28.16, C-16), (25.06, C-17), (21.46, C-18), (11.85, C-19), (12.80, C-20), (35.79, C-1'), (45.45, C-2'), (68.03, C-3'), (45.25, C-4'), (72.71, C-5'), (117.52, C-6'), 202.36, C-7'), (103.39, C-8'), (132.19, C-9'), (128.54, C-10'), (125.71, C-11'), (138.09, C-12'), (137.11, C-13'), (132.52, C-14'), (129.44, C-15'), (31.31, C-16'),

(32.14, C-17<sup>°</sup>), (29.22, C-18<sup>°</sup>), (14.04, C-19<sup>°</sup>), (12.94, C-20<sup>°</sup>), (170.45, Ac-1), (21.18, Ac-2).

## Biological evaluation of the isolated compounds

DNA (Calf Thymus type1), bleomycin sulfate, butylated hydroxyanisole, thiobarbituric acid (TBA), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and ascorbic acid were obtained from sigma. 2,2'-azo-bis-(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride and ABTS were purchased from Wako Co., USA.

### Cell viability and Bleomycin-dependent DNA damage assay in negative control (Fucoxanthin on MCF-7)

The reaction mixture contained DNA (0.5 mg/ml), bleomycin sulfate (0.05 mg/ml), MgCl<sub>2</sub> (5 mM), FeCl<sub>3</sub> (50 mM), and samples to be tested in a conc. of 0.1 mg/ml. L-ascorbic acid was used as positive control. The mixture was incubated at  $37^{0}$ C for 1 hour. The reaction was terminated by addition of 0.05 ml EDTA (0.1 M). The color was developed by adding 0.5 ml TBA (1% w/v) and 0.5 ml HCl (25% v/v), followed by heating at  $80^{0}$ C for 10 minutes. After centrifugation, the extent of DNA damage was measured by increase in absorbance at 532 nm. [18],[19] The viability of the cells was determined by the microscopical examination <sup>[20]</sup> using a hemocytometer and using trypan blue stain (stains only the dead cells).

## Antioxidant activity screening assay 2,2`-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid method

For each of the investigated compounds, 2 ml of ABTS solution (60  $\mu$ M) was added to 3 ml MnO<sub>2</sub> solution (25 mg/ml), all prepared in 5 ml aqueous phosphate buffer solution (pH, 7; 0.1 M). The mixture was shaken, centrifuged, filtered, and the absorbance of the resulting green-blue solution (ABTS radical solution) at  $\lambda$  734 nm was adjusted to approximately ca. 0.5. Then, 50 $\mu$ l of (2 mM) solution of the tested compound in spectroscopic grade MeOH/phosphate buffer (1: 1) was added. The absorbance was measured and the reduction in color intensity was expressed as inhibition percentage. L-ascorbic acid was used as standard antioxidant (positive control). Blank sample was run without ABTS and using MeOH/phosphate buffer (1: 1) instead of tested compounds. Negative control was run with ABTS and MeOH/phosphate buffer (1: 1) only. [21]

## Cytotoxicity and antitumor assay

Samples were prepared for assay by dissolving in 50 ml of DMSO (Dimethyl Sulfoxide), and diluting aliquots into sterile culture medium at 0.4 mg/ml. These solutions were sub-diluted to 0.02 mg/ml in sterile medium and the two solutions were used as stocks to test samples at 100, 50, 20, 10, 5, 2, and 1 mg/ml in triplicate in the wells of microtiter plates. The compounds were assayed in triplicate on monolayers grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) calf serum (HyClone Laboratories, Ogden, UT), 60 mg/ml Penicillin G, and 100 mg/ml streptomycin sulfate maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing about 15% (v/v) CO  $_2$  in air. All medium components were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, unless otherwise indicated. Cell stocks were maintained at 34°C in culture flasks filled with medium supplemented with 1% (v/v) calf serum. Subcultures of cells for screening were grown in the wells of microtiter trays (Falcon Microtest III 96-wells trays, Becton Dickinson Labware, Lincolin Park, NJ) by suspending cells in medium following trypsin-EDTA treatment, counting the suspension with a hemocytometer, diluting in medium containing 10% calf serum to 2 x 104 cells per 200 ml culture, aliquoting into each well of a tray, and culturing until confluent. Microtiter trays with confluent monolayer cultures of cells were inverted, the medium shaken out, and replaced with serial dilutions of sterile compounds in triplicate in 100 1 medium followed by titered virus in 100

ml medium containing 10% (v/v) calf serum in each well. In each tray, the last row of wells was reserved for controls that were not treated with compounds. Trays were cultured for 96 hours. Trays were inverted onto a paper towel pad, the remaining cells rinsed carefully with medium, and fixed with 3.7% (v/v) formaldehyde in saline for at least 20 minutes. The fixed cells were rinsed with water, and examined visually. The cytotoxic activity is identified as confluent, relatively unaltered monolayers of stained cells treated with the investigated compounds. Cytotoxicity was estimated as the concentration that caused approximately 50% loss of the monolayer. 5-fluorouracil was used as a positive control. [22]

Results and Discussion

Compound 1 showed in its EI MS a molecular ion at m/z 412, (Liebermann-burchard reaction gave the typical slow reacting green color of a  $\Delta^5$  sterol) which <sup>[23]</sup> together with <sup>13</sup> C NMR data suggested a molecular formula of C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>48</sub>

O. The loss of part of the side chain (C  $_7$  H  $_{14}$ ) is characteristic of sterols <sup>[23]</sup> with a  $\Delta^{24(28)}$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic data were typical of sterols, in which a signal at  $\delta 0.88$  (6H, d, J=6.6 Hz) attributable to the two methyls of an isopropyl group, a signal at  $\delta 0.91$  (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz) and two signals at  $\delta 1.00$  (3H, s) and at  $\delta 0.68$  (3H, s) assigned to two methyl groups attached to two quaternary carbons are assigned to C-26, C-27, C-21, C-19 and C-18, respectively. In addition, a doublet at  $\delta$  1.59 ppm (J= 6.6 Hz) for the proton at C-29 ( $\delta_c$  18.70), a quartet  $\delta$  5.18 ppm (J= 6.6 Hz) at C-28 proton ( $\delta_c$ 

115.54), a multiplet at  $\delta$  5.35 for C-6 proton ( $\delta_c$  121.70), and a multiplet at 3.53 for C-3 proton ( $\delta_c$  71.80) were observed.

<sup>13</sup> C NMR of compound **1** indicated 29 carbons, of which two double bonds and a secondary alcohol (*cf exp.*) were present. The DEPT spectra showed that compound 1 contains six methyl, ten methylene, nine methane, and four quaternary carbons. The stereochemistry at the side chain double bond can be explained by observing the <sup>1</sup>HNMR spectra at the C-25 proton which resonates at  $\delta$  2.32 ppm ( $\delta_c$  36.50) in case of (*E*) isomer (Frost, ward, 1968). The position of the ethylidene group was proved from HMQC, HMBC correlations, and COSY experiment. These data are in agreement with literature  $\frac{[24]}{[24]}$  which can allow us to assign compound 1 as fucosterol [Figure 1].

the water Figure 1: Structure of compounds 1-4 Ster particular 28 **Click here to view** 

Compound **2** showed in its EI MS a molecular ion at m/z 426, which together with <sup>13</sup> C-NMR and HREI MS suggested a molecular formula of C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>46</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (m/z 426.3413; calcd. 426.3349). The double doublet at  $\delta$  5.75 (J = 18, 13 Hz),

doublet at 5.29 (J = 13 Hz), and doublet at 5.17 (J = 18 Hz) in the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and signals at  $\delta_c$  137.79 and 117.10 in the <sup>13</sup>

C NMR were attributable to terminal vinyl protons, three methyl doublets at 0.96, 0.87, 0.85 in the <sup>1</sup> H-NMR spectrum. These data, together with the presence of a fragment at m/z 271 in the mass spectrum due to the loss of the side-chain C 10 H 19 O, suggest a stigmastane skeleton with unsaturation at C-28. The IR spectrum showed strong bands at 3420 and

1675 cm  $^{-1}$  . The above data, together with the presence of signals at  $\delta_c$  200.38, 172.38, 124.42, and 89.75 in the  $^{13}$ C

NMR spectrum and a signal at  $\delta$  5.74 in the <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum, suggested the presence of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated carbonyl group and a tertiary hydroxyl function in the molecule. As the compound contains two double bonds and two oxygen atoms, one as carbonyl and the second as tertiary hydroxyl, also has seven degrees of unsaturation revealed by mass spectrometry, it must be a tetracyclic product. The structure of known compound 2 [Figure 1] was established by comparison of its data (*cf exp.*) with literature.  $\begin{bmatrix} 25 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Compound  $\mathbf{3}$ , its structure was established by comparing their physical and spectral data (cf exp.) with those in the literature  $\frac{[25],[26]}{2}$  as 24-vinyl cholest -5-ene -3 $\beta$ , 24-diol.

Compound 4 was isolated as a bright orange solid and the IR showed the presence of the hydroxyl

(3439 cm  $^{-1}$  ), sp-hybrid carbon (allenic) (2361, 2332 cm  $^{-1}$  ), ester (1721, 1253 cm  $^{-1}$  ), and polyene (1654, 1605 cm  $^{-1}$  ) groups. Its molecular formula was deduced as C  $_{42}$  H  $_{58}$  O  $_{6}$  based on HRFAB-MS analysis [M  $^+$  ], m/z: 658.4224 (Calcd. for C  $_{42}$  H  $_{58}$  O  $_{6}$ , 658. 4233), and NMR spectroscopic data (*cf exp.*). The  $^{1}$  H and  $^{13}$  C NMR spectra of active compound revealed signals assignable to polyene having acetyl, conjugated ketone, two quaternary geminal dimethyls, two quaternary geminal methyls of oxygen, four olefinic methyls, and allene functionalities. The physicochemical features outlined above suggested that the active compound was a carotenoid in which one of the hydroxyl groups was acetylated. This suggestion was further supported by the UV spectrum [448 (e560,000), 248 (24,000)]. From detailed comparison of the data for the active compound with those of fucoxanthin [Figure 1], the active compound was in agreement with an authentic fucoxanthin in all aspects. [27],[28],[29]

The data obtained from [Table 1] indicate that fucoxanthin (4) have some protective activity to DNA by certain mechanism. The isolated compounds were screened for their antitumor activity against MCF-7. The viability of the cells used in control experiments exceeded 95%; compound 4 proved to have cytotoxic activity sustained for 48 hours after adding the compound to MCF-7, as shown in [Table 1]. Compounds 1 and 4 were tested for antioxidant activity, where fucoxanthin (4) exhibited the highest antioxidant activity by 72% inhibition [Table 2]. On the other hand, compound 1 exhibited a weak to moderate activity.

Table 1: Cell viability and bleomycin-dependent DNA damage assay of fucoxanthin (4)

Click here to view

antis addit

Table 2: Antioxidant activity of tested compounds by ABTS method

 $\uparrow$ 

#### **Click here to view**

Compounds **1** and **4** displayed significant antioxidant and anticancer activities [Table 3] against HepG2 (human hepatocellular liver carcinoma cell line), WI 38 (Skin carcinoma cell line), Vero (cell line was initiated from the kidney of a normal adult African green monkey), and MCF-7 (breast cancer cell lines). Fucoxanthin reduced the viability of MCF-7 cells with IC50 (mg/ml) = 11.5.

 Compounds
 IC<sub>cu</sub> (sg/m/)\*

 HepG2 W1-38 VERO
 WCF-7

 Putosamthin
 20.2
 24.6
 22.4

 Functioned
 91.2
 82.4
 60.3
 79.2

 S-functioned
 91.2
 82.4
 60.3
 79.2

 S-functioned
 91.2
 82.4
 60.3
 79.2

Table 3: Cytotoxicity of tested compounds on different cancer cell lines

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge SABIC, the Saudi Arabian Company for Basic Industries, for the financial support of this work (SP-11-2) through the collaboration with the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Abdul-Aziz University.

References

- 1. Blunt JW, Munro MH. Marin Literature Database. Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury: Christchurch, New Zealand; 2007.
- Blunt JW, Copp BR, Hu WP, Munro MH, Northcote PT, Prinsep MR. Marine natural products. Nat Prod Rep. 2008; 25:35-94.
- 3. Segawa M, Shirahama H. New Plastoquinones from the Brown Alga Sargassum sagamianum var. yezoense. Chemical Letters 1987; 1:1365-66. *\**
- 4. Mori J, Iwashima M, Wakasugi H, Saito H, Matsunaga T, Ogasawara M, *et al.* New plastoquinones isolated from the brown alga, *Sargassum micracanthum*. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 2005;53:1159-63.
- 5. Ishitsuka M, Kusumi T, Nomura Y, Konno T, Kakisawa H. New geranylgeranylbenzoquinone derivatives from *Sargassum tortile*. Chemical Letters 1979;8:1269-72. **\***
- 6. Kato T, Kumanireng AS, Ichinose I, Kitahara Y, Kakinuma Y, Kato Y. Structure and synthesis of the active component from a marine alga, *Sargassum tortile*, which induces the settling of swimming larvae of *Coryne uchidai*. Chemical Letters 1975;4:335-8. **\***
- 7. Jang KH, Lee BH, Choi BW, Lee HS, Shin J. Chromenes from the brown alga *Sargassum siliquastrum*. J Nat Prod 2005;68:716-23. **\***
- 8. Kikuchi T, Mori Y, Yokoi T, Nakazawa S, Kuroda H, Masada Y, *et al.* Structure of sargatriol, a new isoprenoid chromenol from a marine alga, *Sargassum tortile*. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 1975;23:690-2. **\***
- 9. Tang H, Yi Y, Yao X, Zhou D, Lu T, Jiang Y. Studies on bioactive steroid constituents from Sargassum carpophyllum. Chin Pham J 2002;37:262-5. **\***
- 10. Tang H, Yi Y, Yao X, Zhang S, Zou Z, Li L. Glycerides from marine brown algae *Sargassum carpophyllum*. Chinese Journal of Marine Drugs 2002; 21:5-9. **↑**
- 11. Ayyad S-EN, Abdel-Halim OB, Shier WT, Hoye TR. Cytotoxic hydroazulene diterpenes from brown alga *Cystoseira myrica*. Z Naturforsch C 2003;58:33-8. <sup>a</sup>
- 12. Lincolon RA, Strupinski K, Walker JM. Bioactive compounds from algae. Life Chem Rep 1991;8:97-183. 🔹
- 13. Ayyad S-EN, Slama MO, Mokhtar AH, Anter AF. Cytotoxic bicyclic diterpene from the brown alga Sargassum crispum. Boll Chim Farm 2001;140:155-9. \*
- 14. Banais B, Francisco C, Gonzalez E, Fenical W. Diterpenoid metabolites from the marine alga *Cystoseira elegans*. Tetrahedron 1983;39:629-38. **\***
- Alarif WM, Ayyad S-EN, Al-lihaibi SS. Acyclic Diterpenoid from the Red Alga Gracilaria Foliifera. Rev Latinoamer Quím 2010;38:52-8. 2
- Alarif, WM, Abou-Elnaga Z Sh, Ayyad S-EN, Al-lihaibi SS. Insecticidal Metabolites from the Green Alga Caulerpa racemosa. CLEAN - Soil, Air and Water 2010;38:548-57. \*
- Ayyad S-EN, Makki MS, Al-kayal NS, Basaif SA, El-Foty KO, Asiri AM, et al. Cytotoxic and Protective DNA Damage of Three New Diterpenoids from the Brown Alga *Dictoyota dichotoma*. Eur J Med Chem 2011;46:175-82.
- <u>18.</u>
- Gutterdge J, Rowley D, Halliwell B. Superoxide dependent formation of hydroxyl radicals in the presence of iron salts. Detection of free ion in biological systems using bleomycin-dependent degradation of DNA. Biochem J

 $\uparrow$ 

1

1981;199:263-5. \*

- 19. Abdel-Wahab BF, El-Ahl AA, Badria FA. Synthesis of new 2-naphthyl ethers and their protective activities against DNA damage induced by bleomycin-iron. Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo) 2009;57:1348-51. \*
- 20. Badria F, Ameen M, Akl MR. Evaluation of Cytotoxic Compounds from Calligonum comosum L. growing in Egypt. Z 2007;62:656-60. \*
- 21. El-Gazzar AB, Youssef MM, Abu-Hashem AA, Badria FA. Design and synthesis of azolopyrimidoquinolines, pyrimidoquinazolines as anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory and analgesic activities. Eur J Med Chem 2009;44;609-24. \*
- 22. El-Subbagh HI, Abu-Zaid SM, Mahran MA, Badria FA, Al-obaid AM. Synthesis and biological evaluation of certain alpha, beta-unsaturated ketones and their corresponding fused pyridines as antiviral and cytotoxic agents. J Med Chem 2000;43:2915-21. \*
- 23. Gibbons GF, Goad LJ, Goodwin TW. The identification of 28- isofucosterol in the marine green algae Enteromorpha intestinalis and Ulva lactuca. Phytochemistry 1968;7:983-8. \*
- 24. Goad JL, Akihisa T. Analysis of Sterols. 1 st ed. London: Blackie Academic and Professional; 1977. p. 382-3. 🛊
- 25. Ayyad S-EN, Sowellim SZ, el-Hosini MS, Abo-Atia A. The structural determination of a new steroidal metabolite from the brown alga Sargassum asperifolium. Z Naturforsch C 2003;58:333-6. \*
- 26. Tsuda K, Hayatsu R, Kashida Y, Akagi S. Steroid studies IV. Studies on the constitution of sargasterol. J Am Chem Soc 1958;80:921-5. \*
- 27. Haugan JA, Englert G, Glinz E, Liaaen-Jensen S. Algal carotenoids. 48. Structural assignments of geometrical isomers of fucoxanthin. Acta Chem Scand 1992;46:389-95. **\***
- 28. Yan X, ChudaY, Suzuki M, Nagata T. Fucoxanthin as the major antioxidant in *Hizikia fusiformis*, a common edible seaweed. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 1999;63:605-7.
- 29. Ayyad S-EN, Diab M. NMR Application of Mosher's Method: The absolute configurations of fucoxanthin from Turbinaria triquatra. Alex J Pharm Sci 2002;16:27-30. \*

Figures

[Figure 1]

Tables

[Table 1], [Table 2], [Table 3]

This article has been cited by						
Fucoxantin: A treasure from the sea DæOrazio, N., Gemello, E., Gammone, M.A., De Girolamo, M., Ficoneri, C., Riccioni, G. Marine Drugs. 2012; 10(3): 604-616 [Pubmed]						
Download Article (pdf) Email Print Read / Write Article Citation Manager Article Previous Article Next Article Next Article						
Sitemap   What's New   Feedback   Disclaimer © Pharmacognosy Research   Published by <u>Medknow</u> Online since 1 <sup>st</sup> January, 2010 [CO] EY-NO-SA Open Access ROMEO Dublin Core Metadata V W3C HTML 4.1 V W3C CSS ACAP ENABLED View mobile site ISSN: Print-0976-4836, Online - 0974-8490	Support					