Fitting Gravimetric Local and Global Quasi-Geoids to GPS/Levelling Data: The Role of Geoid/Quasi-Geoid Variations
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Abstract. The Global Positioning System (GPS) has been extensively utilized in surveying and mapping applications worldwide and in Egypt, which results in a crucial need for precise geoid models. Traditionally, global and local gravimetric quasi-geoid models are determined and, then, fitted to GPS/leveling data. In the context of precise geoid determination, a quasi-geoid determination has to be converted to a geoid model prior to fitting process. Neglecting such a transforming process leads to the absorption of these effects in the fitting residuals that, hence, may produce misleading results and interpretations. The geoid/quasi-geoid separations in Egypt have been computed and found to range from –0.074 m to 0.367 m, with an average of 0.060 m. Additionally, when the quasi-geoid to geoid conversion is performed, it has been found that the undulation of the EIGEN-CG01C global quasi-geoid model when compared to true undulations of HARN stations, have been decreased, in the mean sense, from 0.52 m to 0.46 m. That means an average improvement of accuracy of about 11%. Based on the obtained results, it can be concluded that the conversion of the quasi-geoid to the geoid is quite significant and it should be a regular step in precise geoid determination in Egypt.
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1. Introduction

Developing a precise nation-wide geoid model becomes a crucial geodetic task in Egypt in recent decades. It is mainly due to the extensive
utilization of the Global Positioning System (GPS) technique. The geoid is used to transform the GPS-derived ellipsoidal heights to orthometric heights used in surveying and mapping. Geoid development in Egypt has been addressed by a variety of researchers\cite{1, 2, 15}. The geoid solution of Alnaggar\cite{2} is considered the pioneering nation-wide (22°N<latitude <32°N, 25°E<longitude<37°E) geoid, developed using a least-squares collocation technique and heterogeneous geodetic data including terrestrial gravity, astronomic deflections of the vertical, and Doppler/levelling undulations. A generation of geoid models for Egypt on a five-minute grid has been developed by Saad and Dawod\cite{15}: SRI2001A is a gravimetric geoid computed using local gravity, a local Digital Terrain Model (DTM) and the EGM96 GGM, using a remove-compute-restore Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) processing methodology. SRI2001B is a geoid based on surface-fitting of the SRI2001A model to GPS/levelling\cite{15}. Abdelmotaal\cite{2} developed and applied a high-degree tailored reference model by merging the available gravity anomalies with the EGM96 Global Geopotential Model (GGM) in order to obtain better residual gravity anomalies. Moreover, there are other studies that have developed geoid models for particular areas of interest in Egypt\cite{19}.

Most of gravimetric Egyptian geoids are based on the FFT technique in a remove-restore strategy that utilizes a GGM to represent the long wavelengths of the Earth gravity field. Furthermore, these geoid models are fitted to GPS/levelling data to enhance their integrity and accuracy. A key issue in the utilization of GGMs is that their direct results are the height anomalies (not the geoid undulations) representing a quasi-geoid surface, not the geoid itself. Neglecting the geoid/quasi-geoid separation, among other factors, affect the fitting process between the gravimetric local or global quasi-geoids and the GPS/levelling data\cite{7}. This geoid/quasi-geoid transforming step has not been considered in most geodetic literature in Egypt, leading to the fact that the effects of such a correction being absorbed in the fitting residuals that, hence, may produce misleading results and interpretations. The main objectives of the current research study are: (1) the assessment of the geoid/quasi-geoid separations, based on real data; and (2) investigating the expected increase of accuracy in fitting quasi-geoids to GPS/levelling data towards the development of a precise geoid model for Egypt.
2. Geoid/Quasi-Geoid Separations

The well-known Stocks’ integral for computing geoid undulations from gravity anomalies is \[^{[11]}\]:

\[
N = \left( \frac{R}{4 \pi G} \right) \int_{\sigma} \Delta g S(\psi) \, d\sigma
\]  

Where:
- \(N\) = the geoid undulation
- \(\Delta g\) = the gravity anomaly
- \(R\) = a mean radius of the earth
- \(d\sigma\) denotes the surface element of the unite sphere
- \(G\) = a mean gravity value over the earth
- \(\int_{\sigma}\) = represents an integral extended over the whole unit sphere
- \(S(\psi)\) = the Stoles’ function given by \[^{[11]}\]:

\[
S(\psi) = \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \psi/2} \right] - 6 \sin(\psi/2) + 1 - 5 \cos \psi - 3 \cos(\psi/2) \ln \left[ \left( \sin(\psi/2) + \sin 2(\psi/2) \right) \right] 
\]  

where
- \(\psi\) = the spherical distance between the computational point and the running point.

The remove-restore technique separates three different frequency contributions in the gravity anomalies, so that it may be written that \[^{[16]}\] :

\[
\Delta g = \Delta g_{FA} + \Delta g_{GGM} + \Delta g_{H}
\]  

and

\[
N = N_{\Delta g} + N_{GGM} + N_{H}
\]  

where
- \(\Delta g_{FA}\) = the medium wavelength contribution of the local free-air gravity anomalies.
- \(\Delta g_{GGM}\) = the long wavelength contribution as represented by a GGM model.
- \(\Delta g_{H}\) = the short wavelength contribution as represented by the topography or a DTM.
- \(N_{\Delta g}\) = the local gravity medium wavelength component
- \(N_{GGM}\) = the GGM long wavelength contribution.
NH = the topography short wavelength component.

The long wavelength component, as represented by a GGM, can be evaluated in the FFT spherical approximation by \[^{[16]}\]:

\[
N_{\text{GGM}} = \sum_{m=2}^{n=ma} \sum_{m=0}^{n} R \left[ C_{nm} \cos m\lambda + S_{nm} \sin m\lambda \right] P_{nm}(\sin \phi) \tag{5}
\]

Where:

- \(C_{nm}, S_{nm}\) = the fully normalized harmonic coefficients,
- \(P_{nm}\) = the fully normalized associated Legendre polynomial
- \(n, m\) = the degree and order of the GGM model respectively
- \(\phi, \lambda\) = the geocentric latitude and longitude respectively

GGMs, when used in a spherical harmonic expansion, produce quasi-geoid (sometimes called co-geoid) not geoid solutions since the processing yields height anomalies not geoid undulations\[^{[7,10,20]}\]. Consequently, the conversion of the obtained quasi-geoid (height anomaly) to the geoid (geoidal undulation) is a regular step in precise geoid determination worldwide\[^{[6,8,9,12]}\]. Neglecting this procedure, among other factors, affect the fitting process between the gravimetric local or global quasi-geoids and the GPS/levelling data\[^{[7]}\]. Several researchers have considered this issue and have presented solutions to convert height anomalies to geoid heights. Rapp\[^{[13]}\] suggested that potential coefficient models be used first to calculate a height anomaly and then a correction term, represented by a high degree spherical harmonic expansion, be applied to give the geoid undulation. Heiskanen and Moritz\[^{[11]}\] provide a formula for computing the geoid/quasi-geoid separation as:

\[
N = \xi + \left[ \left( g' - \gamma' \right) / \gamma' \right] H \tag{6}
\]

Where:

- \(N\) = the geoid undulations.
- \(\xi\) = the height anomaly or undulations of quasi-geoid.
- \(g\) = the mean gravity along the plumb line between geoid and ground.
- \(\gamma\) = the mean normal gravity along the normal plumb line between ellipsoid and telleroid.
- \(H\) = the orthometric height

For an average density = 2.67 g/cm\(^3\), \(g'\) can be computed as\[^{[11]}\]:

\[
g' = g \text{ (in gal)} + 0.0424 \text{ H (in km)} \tag{7}
\]
where: \( g \) = the measured surface gravity.

Additionally, the quantity \( \gamma' \) may be replaced by a constant average value\(^{[11]}\). The maximum geoid/quasi-geoid separation (N-\( \xi \)) can be up to 2 m\(^{[17]}\). In the Mt. Blanc area in the Alps, where the height equals 4877 m, this separation equals 1.8 m\(^{[11]}\). Consequently, applying such a transformation is crucial in the context of developing a precise geoid model for Egypt.

### 3. Available Data

The local geodetic data used in this study was held in two databases (Table 1). Firstly, terrestrial gravity values have been measured at 1138 points (Fig 1). The date of these observations and their accuracy vary greatly between the most recent Egyptian National Gravity Standardization Network of 1997 (ENGSN97) that was established by the Survey Research Institute\(^{[3]}\) and older gravity surveys carried out by many private organizations several decades ago. The accuracy of ENGSN97 gravity values is 0.022 mGal, while the accuracy estimate for older gravity data is 0.5 mGal on average\(^{[4]}\). Even though the accuracy of the older gravity data is not of high-precision, this data set is used in this research study since it covers areas where no other gravity sources are available. As can be seen from Fig. 1, gravimetric data distribution is not homogeneous over Egypt, with significant gaps, particularly in the Eastern and Western deserts\(^{[4]}\). However, this data set almost constitute all the published gravity data in Egypt. An evaluation of the existing gravity data, based on comparing the gravity value of each point to values at the nearest four stations, was carried out to identify any large discrepancies. This process identified 58 points (about 5%) as suspected outliers and these were subsequently removed. The statistics of the remaining 1080 gravity stations are presented in Table 2.

The second compiled geodetic data base consists of 15 GPS/levelling points (Fig. 2). This data set belongs to the High Accuracy Reference Network (HARN), which furnishes the national Egyptian GPS datum established by the Egyptian Survey Authority (ESA) in 1995. The precision of the HARN network is 0.1 part per million (ppm), which can be written in another form as 1: 10,000,000. Although the HARN network consists of 30 stations, only those 15 points have observed real orthometric heights, and consequently true geoid undulations. The
precision of geoid undulations at these stations may be estimated as 1 cm or better\cite{3}. It is worth mentioning that this data set is quite few in number, but it is of special importance since it is the most accurate and officially-published GPS/levelling data in Egypt. Other existing GPS/levelling data do not reach such a precision level, and hence may not be appropriate for the comparison in the current research study. The statistics of the geoid undulations over these stations are presented in Table 3.

Table 1. Available local geodetic data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>No. of points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial Gravity:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. ENGSN97 Network</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Older gravity points</td>
<td>988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS/levelling HARN</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Statistics of the available 1080 gravity stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed Gravity (mGal)</td>
<td>978588.29</td>
<td>979509.69</td>
<td>979089.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthometric Heights (m)</td>
<td>–253.23</td>
<td>1433.52</td>
<td>169.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Statistics of the available 15 GPS/Levelling stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthometric Heights (m)</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>556.42</td>
<td>146.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True Undulations (m)</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>15.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Available local gravity stations.
4. Processing and Results

The main objectives of the current research study in the assessment of the geoid/quasi-geoid separations, and investigating the expected increase of accuracy in fitting quasi-geoids to GPS/levelling data towards the development of a precise geoid model for Egypt. Therefore, the data processing involves two steps. Firstly, the geoid/quasi-geoid separations, through Equations 6 and 7, have been computed at the available known 1080 gravity stations. The attained results are presented in Table 4, and a contour map is depicted in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the geoid/quasi-geoid separations range from \(-0.074\) m to \(0.367\) m, with an average of \(0.060\) m and a standard deviation equals \(0.049\) m. That means that such a correction to the gravimetric quasi-geoids can reach up to 37 centimeters at mountainous areas in Egypt (actually this value occurred at Saint Katreen area, south of Sinai peninsula). Moreover, although the topography of Egypt is fairly flat in general, the geoid/quasi-geoid correction has an average value of 6 centimeters. This value is quite significant when a precise geoid model is concerned.
The second step in the data processing is the assessment of the expected accuracy of fitting quasi-geoids to GPS/levelling data. It should be emphasized that neglecting the geoid/quasi-geoid separations will be absorbed in the residuals of the fitting process, which causes misleading results. Dawod\cite{5} concluded that, out of eight tested recent GGMs, the EIGEN-CG01C model\cite{14} is best at representing the long and medium wavelengths of the gravity field in Egypt. Therefore, the height anomaly at the known 15 HARN GPS/levelling stations has been computed using the EIGEN-CG01C GGM, through the SHS360 program (Spherical Harmonic Solution to degree and order 360 computer program\cite{18}. The corresponding geoid/quasi-geoid separations at these stations have been interpolated from the contour map. Furthermore, the geoid undulations have been computed by adding the geoid/quasi-geoid separations to the EIGEN-CG01C height anomalies. Two comparisons, of both height anomalies and GGM-based geoid undulations against known geoid undulations (N=h-H), have been carried out. The achieved results are tabulated in Table 5.

### Table 4. Statistics of geoid/quasi-geoid separations at known 1080 gravity stations (m).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>RMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>–0.074</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Geoid/quasi-geoid separation contour map.
It can be seen that the height anomalies of the EIGEN-CG01C GGM range have a mean value equals 14.95 m and a standard deviation of 2.43 m. When compared against true geoid undulations, the differences' average equals 0.52 m and a standard deviation equals 2.42 m. The interpolated geoid/quasi-geoid separations at those stations range have a mean value equals 0.06 m and a standard deviation of 0.05 m. After the quasi-geoid to geoid conversion, the corresponding EIGEN-CG01C-based geoid undulations have a mean value of 15.01 m and a standard deviation equals 2.42 m. The computed undulation differences, between the GGM and true values, now have a mean average of 0.46 m and a standard deviation equals 2.41 m. Consequently, the mean difference value of geoid undulation, of the EIGEN-CG01C GGM, has been decreased from 0.52 m to 0.46 m, which means an average improvement of accuracy of 11% approximately. Additionally, as presented in Table 4, the geoid/quasi-geoid separations can reach up to 37 centimeters at mountainous areas and their average value, over Egypt, is 6 centimeters which is quite significant when a precise geoid model is concerned. Thus, it should be realized that the utilized known HARN stations exist mostly at flat or moderate-topography areas, and it is expected that the attained findings may be greatly changed for mountainous areas in Egypt. As mentioned previously, the main objective of the current research study is assessing the role of geoid/quasi-geoid separations within the context of developing a precise geoid model. In many geodetic literature, particularly in Egypt, this surface transformation process is not applied in geoid determination. Hence, the attained results highlights, even in a relative sense, the importance of such a processing step that must be taken into account in geoid solutions.
5. Summary and Conclusions

The extensive utilization of GPS in surveying and mapping applications in Egypt has necessitated the development of a precise geoid model. Global and local gravimetric geoid determinations utilize GGMs, in the FFT spherical approximation processing, produce height anomalies representing a quasi-geoid surface, not the geoid itself. The geoid/quasi-geoid transforming step has not been considered in most geodetic literature in Egypt, leading to the fact that the effects of such a correction being absorbed in the fitting residuals that, hence, may produces misleading results and interpretations. In order to evaluate the geoid/quasi-geoid separation in Egypt, 1080 gravity stations have been utilized. Although, the gravimetric data distribution is not homogeneous over Egypt, with significant gaps, this data set almost constitute all the published gravity data in Egypt. The orthometric height of these stations, which is the key factor in geoid/quasi-geoid conversion, vary from $-253.23$ m to $1433.52$ m, with a mean value of $169.34$ m. The attained results show that the values of the quasi-geoid-to-geoid transformation range from $-0.074$ m to $0.367$ m, with an average of $0.060$ m and a standard deviation equals $0.049$ m. It should be highlighted that this maximum value is quite significant in precise geoid modelling. Hence, it can be concluded that this conversion step is substantial when a precise Egyptian geoid model is concerned.

The second utilized dataset consists of 15 HARN GPS/levelling points, whose true geoid undulations are known. Even though, this data set is quite few in number, but it is of special importance since it is the most accurate and officially-published GPS/levelling data in Egypt. Other existing GPS/levelling data do not reach such a precision level, and hence may not be appropriate for the comparison in the current research study. This data set has been used to investigate the expected accuracy of fitting a global quasigeoid GGM model to GPS/levelling points. The EIGEN-CG01C has been found to be the best GGMs at representing the long and medium wavelengths of the gravity field in Egypt\textsuperscript{[5]}. The EIGEN-CG01C height anomalies at those stations have been computed and the corresponding geoid/quasi-geoid separations have been interpolated. Two comparisons, of both GGM-based height anomalies and geoid undulations against known geoid undulations, have been carried out. The results show that when compared the quasi-geoid GGM against true geoid undulations, the differences have an average of $0.52$ m.
After the quasi-geoid to geoid conversion, the corresponding undulation differences have been decreased, in the mean sense, to 0.46 m, which means an average improvement of accuracy of 11% approximately. Nevertheless, it should be recognized that the exploited HARN stations exist generally at flat or moderate-topography areas, and it is anticipated that the accomplished findings may be greatly changed for mountainous areas. Based on the available utilized data sets and the obtained results, it can be concluded, in a sense, that the conversion of the quasi-geoid to the geoid is quite significant and it should be a regular step in precise geoid determination in Egypt.
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توافق نماذج الجيويد وشبهه الجيويد المحلية والعالمية مع قياسات النظام العالمي لتحديد المواقع والميزانيات: دور التغيرات بين الجيويد وشبهه الجيويد

جمعية محمد داوود و هدي فیصل محمد

ном

المستكشف. مع انتشار تطبيقات النظام العالمي لتحديد المواقع في مشروعات المساحة والخريطة عالمياً، فقد تزايدت الحاجة في مصر للحصول على نموذج دقيق لسطح الجيويد، وخاصة لتحويل الارتفاعات الجيوديسية إلى المناسب المناظرة. يتم استنباط نماذج "شبه الجيويد" تقليدياً باستخدام قياسات الجاذبية الأرضية، ثم يتم ضبطها لتوافق مع نقاط معلوم عنها قيم جيود الجيودية الناتجة من النظام العالمي لتحديد المواقع والميزانيات الأرضية ولكن يجب قبل إجراء عملية التوافق أن يتم تحويل نموذج شبه الجيويد إلى نموذج جيودي حقيقي، وهذه الخطوة لم تؤخذ في الاعتبار في معظم الدراسات الجيوديسية في مصر. وبناء على قياسات جيوديسية دقيقة عند 1080 نقطة فقد تم حساب المسافات بين شبيه الجيويد و الجيودي ووجد أن قيمها تتراوح بين -0.0267 متراً و 0.3 متراً بمتوسط يبلغ 0.2 متراً. وبعد إجراء هذا التحويل فإن الدقة المتوسطة لأحد نماذج الجيودية العالمية - التي تم اختبارها ووجد أنه أحسن نموذج عالمي لتمثيل مجال الجاذبية في مصر - قد تحسنت من 0.53 متراً إلى 0.45 متراً بحسن يبلغ 11% في المتوسط. وتتلد النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها على أن عملية التحويل من نماذج شبه الجيويد إلى نماذج الجيويد تعود خطوة مؤثرة ووجب أخذها في الاعتبار في لية محاولة مستقبلية لتطوير نموذج جيودي دقيق في مصر.