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#### Abstract

A Health Risk Appraisal program was used in King Abdulaziz University to assess the health status of university staff and employees. The program was accepted by all the participants and was a first step in detecting the cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors aiming to modify the negative attitude and practice. Unfavorable habits were reported by the participants: $24.0 \%$ were current smokers, $50.0 \%$ were overweight, and $65.00 / 0$ consumed a high-fat diet. Moreover, hypertension accounted for $22.0 \%$ and diabetes for $9.0 \%$. The men practiced these unfavorable habits more than the women. Hypercholesterolaemia accounted for $9.00 / 0$ and was significantly related to old age (40 years and over) and smoking habits. The 5 -year risk of CVD predicts that $24.00 / 0$ of men and $9.00 / 0$ of women are at highest risk to develop CYD in the coming half decade. Health strategies are recommended to decrease the risk of CVD and improve the quality of life.
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## Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) represents one of the most important health problems and is a leading cause of death. CYD is known to be a multifactorial disease in which a variety of factors are involved[l]. Several characteristics have been shown to be the major contributors for the development of CYD, particularly, hypercholesterolaernia, hypertension, smoking habits, diet, and obesity[2]. Most of these factors could be efficiently modified by potent preventive measures.

[^0] Accepted for publication: 19 July 1997. Received: 27 January 1997.

In King Abdulaziz University we were keen to evaluate the health status of the workers in order to identify those at highest risk in developing CYD and, consequently, in need of specific health promotion programs. We adopted the Health Risk Appraisal (HRA) program to assess the health condition of staff and employees[3-S1.

The objectives of the study were to:
I. Determine the prevalence of CYD risk factors among university staff and employees.
2. Determine the prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia and its relation to the various risk factors.
3. Estimate the probability of development of CYD over five years.

## Materials and Methods

A multi-stage random sampling of King Abdulaziz University teaching staff and employees was taken. A first-stage stratified random sampling with proportional allocation was done to determine the proportion of the total sample for each of the three staff groups: college, deanship, and administration. This was followed by a second-stage systematic sampling to collect the required number of subjects in each group based on its size.

The total sample size was calculated to achieve a confidence level (a) of 0.05 and power (B) of 0.20 with a minimum prevalence of 0.05 for the factors under study. This resulted in a total of around 1000 subjects (male and female). The sample size was proportionally divided for the various groups (college, deanship and administration).

Data was collected by in-person interviewing using a structured questionnaire and direct observation (e.g., anthropometric, blood test, and blood pressure measurements).

Fasting blood cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) was measured using Retletron ${ }^{\mathrm{R}}$ Boehringer Mannheim GmbH . Hypercholesterolaemia was defined as a cholesterollevel of $\geq 240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dll}\left[6{ }^{-101}\right.$. The body mass index was calculated as weight in $\mathrm{Kg} /($ height in m$) 2$ and classified into normal (> 27.2 for men and $<26.9$ for women) and overweight ( $\geq 27.2$ for men and $\geq 26.9$ for women)! 111. Hypertension was defined as any case with a systolic blood pressure $\geq$ 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure $\geq 95$. Diabetics were those who reported to have diabetes mellitus and receive regular medical support. A high-fat intake was considered according to the frequency of reported organ meat (liver and kidney) consumption. Participants were classified according to their type of work: teaching staff (with/without admini strative assignment), administration staff, and others (technicians, laborers, drivers, and security personnel).

Data analysis was done using SPSS/PC computer package. Univariate analysis was performed and chi-square test was used to determine the association between each independent variable and dependent variable (hypercholesterolaemia). As the risk factors were highly related, multiple logistic regression analysis was done to detect the impact of the various risk factors on hypercholesterolaimia'Vl. Some categories were grouped together to overcome the presence of a few cases in some of the cells.

There are several available methods for calculating the risk of development of CVD over five years[13-17]. We used the method described by Thorsen[13] and Taylor[15] as it makes use of the logistic formula that is well suited for computer program and is more appropriate to describe the multivariate CVD profile.

The probability of getting a heart attack within a 5 -year period (P5) was calculated as follows:

$$
P_{(5)}=1 /(1+e-Q)
$$

Where

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Bo}+\mathrm{BjX} 1+\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{X} 2+\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{X} 3+\mathrm{B} 4 \mathrm{X} 4
$$

Where |  | and | BO $=-11.2040$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| X1 $=$ serum cholesterol $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ |  | B $1=0.00864677$ |
| X2 $=$ diastolic blood pressure $(\mathrm{mmHg})$ | B2 $=0.0378064$ |  |
| X3 $=$ number of cigarettes/day | B3 $=0.0256160$ |  |
| X4 $=$ age (years) | B4 $=0.0500791$ |  |

Then $\mathrm{P}(5)$ was divided in five quintiles of equal size. The proportion of cases classified as at highest risk (fifth quintile) and the ratio (Q5/Q1) of those classified as at highest risk (fifth quintile) to those classified as at least risk (first quintile) were used for the predictability of the group at highest risk! 17].

## Results

A total of 1,016 people participated in the study. There were 717 men ( $70.6 \%$ ) and 299 women ( $29.4 \%$ ). Table 1 shows the prevalence of CVD risk factors by gender. About half of the participants were 40 years of age and over. The men were older than the women as the proportion of men of $40+$ years (56.20/0) was significantly higher than that of the women in the same age group (42.30/0). In the men's group, the majority had teaching or other assignments while the majority of women were among the teaching staff or performed administrative jobs.

Table 1. Prevalence of Cyd risk factors by gender.

|  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age*** | Total | 715 |  | 298 |  | 1,013 |  |
| $20-29$ | no. (\%) | 83 | $(11.6)$ | 54 | $(18.1)$ | 137 | $(13.5)$ |
| $30-39$ | no. (\%) | 230 | $(32.2)$ | 118 | $(39.6)$ | 348 | $(34.4)$ |
| $40+$ | no.(\%) | 402 | $(56.2)$ | 126 | $(42.3)$ | 528 | $(52.1)$ |
| Type of work | Total | 717 |  | 299 |  | 1,016 |  |
| teaching | no. (\%) | 293 | $(40.9)$ | 121 | $(40.5)$ | 414 | $(40.7)$ |
| administration | no. (\%) | 188 | .$(26.2)$ | 115 | $(38.5)$ | 303 | $(29.8)$ |
| employees | no. (\%) | 236 | $(32.9)$ | 63 | $(21.03)$ | 299 | $(29.4)$ |
| Smoking habits" | Total | 713 |  | 298 |  | 1,011 |  |

TABLE 1. Contd.

|  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| none | no. (\%) | 412 | $(57.8)$ | 246 | $(82.6)$ | 658 | $(65.1)$ |
| ex-smoker | no. (\%) | 100 | $(14.0)$ | 6 | $(2.0)$ | 106 | $(10.5)$ |
| cigarette $\pm$ shesha | no. (\%) | 137 | $(19.2)$ | 25 | $(8.4)$ | 162 | $(16.0)$ |
| shesha only | no. (\%) | 64 | $(9.0)$ | 21 | $(7.0)$ | 85 | $(8.4)$ |
| Diet | Total | 717 |  | 299 |  | 1,016 |  |
| high-fat** | no. (\%) | 497 | $(69.3)$ | 162 | $(54.2)$ | 659 | $(64.9)$ |
| Body mass index | Tota] | 709 |  | 294 |  | $.1,003$ |  |
| overweight | no. (00) | 351 | $(49.5)$ | 148 | $(50.3)$ | 499 | $(49.8)$ |
| Health status | Tota] | 716 |  | 292 |  | 1,008 |  |
| diast. $\geq 95 \mathrm{mmHg} *$ | no. (\%) | 85 | $(11.9)$ | 17 | $(5.8)$ | 102 | $(10.1)$ |
| syst. $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}^{* *}$ | no. (\%) | 178 | $(24.9)$ | 32 | $(10.9)$ | 210 | $(20.8)$ |
| hypertension** | no. (\%) | 185 | $(25.8)$ | 35 | $(12.0)$ | 220 | $(21.8)$ |
| diabetes** | no. (\%) | 75 | $(10.5)$ | 13 | $(4.3)$ | 88 | $(8.7)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
* & \mathrm{P}<0.05 \\
* * & \mathrm{P}<0.01 \\
* * * & \mathrm{P}<0.001
\end{array}
$$

Current smoking (cigarettes and/or shesha) was reported by 24.4.\% of the cases. About $81.00 / 0$ of the smokers were men. Current cigarette (with/without shesha) smokers accounted for $65.6 \%$ of the smokers while current shesha smokers accounted for 34.4\%.

The diet pattern has showed that $64.9 \%$ of participants consumed high fat diet (organ meat: liver and' kidney). The men reported a higher fat diet consumption than the women. About half of the participants were classified as overweight; this was seen in both genders.

High diastolic blood pressure accounted for $10.1 \%$ of the participants while a high systolic blood pressure accounted for $20.8 \%$. Hypertension accounted for $21.8 \%$ of the cases. Hypertension and its two components were more pronounced in the men than in the women. Diabetes was reported by $8.7 \%$ of the participants. Still, the men were more affected than the women.

The mean cholesterol level was $175.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and was within normal value for all.variable categories. Table 2 shows the prevalence of hypercholesterolaernia (cholesterol $\geq$ $240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) and its relation to CVD risk factors by gender. Hypercholesterolaemia accounted for 79 cases (9.00/0). The proportion of the cases reporting hypercholesterolaemia 'showed an increase with the increase in age, but the association between hypercholesterolaemia and age did not reach statistical significance. Hypercholeste-
rolaemia was more pronounced among current smokers (cigarette and/or shesha smokers) but still the results were not.significant. The prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia was slightly higher in women ( $9.3 \%$ ) than in men (8.80/0) but this difference did not reach statistical significance. A higher prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia in women was mainly seen in the high risk groups being diabetic ( $23,1 \%$ among women vs $10.9 \%$ among men), overweight ( $10.7 \%$ among women vs $7.5 \%$ among men), current smokers ( $16.7 \%$ among women vs $11.00 / 0$ among men), and of 40 years of age and over $(12.1 \%$ among women vs $9.9 \%$ among men).

TABLE 2. Prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia (cholesterol $\geq 240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) and its relation to cardiovasvular risk factors by gender.

|  | Men |  |  | Women |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | No. | \% | Total | No. | \% | Total | No. | \% |
| Total | 599 | 53 | 8.8 | 281 | 26 | 9.3 | 880 | 79 | 9.0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \quad 20-29 \\ & 30-39 \\ & 40+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 193 \\ 342 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 17 \\ 34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & 8.8 \\ & 9.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ 113 \\ 116 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 48 \\ 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.8 \\ 7.1 \\ 12.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 306 \\ & 458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 25 \\ 48 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.5 \\ 8.2 \\ 10.5 \end{array}$ |
| Type of work teaching administration employees | $\begin{gathered} 241 \\ 167 \\ 191 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 12 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.0 \\ 7.2 \\ 8.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { III } \\ 110 \\ 60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ 6.4 \\ 13.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 352 \\ & 277 \\ & 251 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35 \\ 19 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ 6.9 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ |
| Smoking habits none ex-smoker current smoker | $\begin{array}{r} 346 \\ 86 \\ 163 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27 \\ 7 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.8 \\ 8.1 \\ 11.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 232 \\ 6 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 16.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 578 \\ 92 \\ 205 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { '46 } \\ 7 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ 7.6 \\ 12.2 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { High-fat diet } \\ & \text { no } \\ & \text { yes } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 183 \\ & 416 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7 \\ & 9.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 124 \\ & 157 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.3 \\ 10.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 307 \\ & 573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \\ & 9.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Body mass-index normal overweight | $\begin{aligned} & 297 \\ & 294 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 22 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.1 \\ 7.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \\ & 140 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.1 \\ 10.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 433 \\ 434 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5 \\ & 8.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Hypertension <br> normal hypertension | $\begin{gathered} 445 \\ 154 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ 10.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 240 \\ 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.2 \\ 11.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 685 \\ 189 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ 10.6 \end{array}$ |
| Diabetes normal diabetic | $\begin{array}{r} 535 \\ 64 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ 10.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268 \\ 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ 23.1 \end{array}$ | 803 77 | 69 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 8.6 \\ 13.0 \end{array}$ |

The proportion of cases reporting hypercholesterolaemia did not statistically differ, neither by nutritional status (normal vs. overweight) nor high-fat intake. Hypercholesterolaemia was reported more by the hypertensives and diabetics than by the normal participants, but results were not statistically significant.
Table 3 shows the logistic regression model fitted to detect the impact of the different risk factors on hyperchlesterolaemia. The proportion of cases reporting hyper-
cholesterolaemia showed an increase with the increase in age. Those in their thirties were at double risk while those in their forties or over were 2.7 times more at risk in developing hypercholesterolaemia and results were borderline ( $\mathrm{P}=0.0530$ ). Smoking habits was the second risk factor that seemed to be related to hypercholesterolaemia. Current smokers (cigarette and/or sheesha smokers) were about 1.9 times more at risk in developing hypercholesterolaemia than non-smokers and the results were borderline ( $\mathrm{P}=$ 0.0517 ). Teaching staff and other non-administrative workers were about 1.5 times more at risk than those performing administrative work only, but the results were not statistically significant. Hypertensives, diabetics, and those consuming high-fat diet were at a higher risk of hypercholesterolaemia than the norma] participants but still the results did not reach statistical significance.

TABLE 3. Logistic regression analysis to detect the impact of risk factors on hypercholesterolaemia (cholesterol $\geq 240 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ).

| Risk Factors | Categories | Odds Ratio | 95\%CI | P - value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yrs.) |  |  |  | 0.1305 |
|  | 20-29 | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | 30-39 | 2.0 | 0.7-5.6 |  |
|  | 40+ | 2.7 | 1.1-7.5 |  |
| Sex |  |  |  | 0.1106 |
|  | men | 0.6 | 0.4-1.1 |  |
|  | women | 1.0 |  |  |
| Type of work |  |  |  | 0.3839 |
|  | administration | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | teaching staff | 1.5 | 0.8-2.9 |  |
|  | employees | 1.4 | 0.7-2.8 |  |
| Smoking habits |  |  |  | 0.0567 |
|  | none | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | ex-smokers | 1.0 | 0.4-2.4 |  |
|  | current smokers | 1.9 | 1.1-3.3 |  |
| Body mass index |  |  |  | 0.2843 |
|  | normal | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | overweight | 0.8 | 0.5-1.3 |  |
| High-fat diet |  |  |  | 0.1924 |
|  | no | 1.0 |  |  |
|  |  | 1.4 | 0.8-2.4 |  |
| Blood pressure |  |  |  | 0.6685 |
|  | normotensive | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | hypertensive | 1.1 | 0.6-2.0 |  |
| Diabetes mellitus |  |  |  | 0.4588 |
|  | normal | 1.0 |  |  |
|  | diabetic | 1.3 | 0.6-2.9 |  |

Table 4 shows the probability of development of CVD over five years (depending on serum cholesterol, diastolic blood pressure, number of cigarettes/day, and age).

There were $19.30 / 0$ classified as at highest risk (fifth quintile). The men were at a higher risk than the women in developing CVD as $24.20 / 0$ of the mena and only $8.8 \%$ of the women were classified in the fifth quintile (Q5). The Q5/Q1 ratio has shown that the men were 1.6 times more represented in the highest risk group (Q5) than the least risk group (Q1), while the ratio was inverted for the women and accounted for 0.3.

TABLE 4. Risk of development of CVD over five years.

|  | Men | Women | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{n}=595)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=274)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=969)$ |
| QI | 88 | 85 | 173 |
| Q2 | 104 | 89 | 193 |
| Q3 | 122 | 42 | 164 |
| Q4 | 137 | 34 | 171 |
| Q5 | 144 | 24 | 168 |
| Q5/QI | 1.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| \% of events in Q5 | 24.2 | 808 | 19.3 |

## Discussion

The Health Risk Appraisal was a useful tool in assessing the health status of the King Abdulaziz University working staff. An overview of the CVD risk indicators in our staff and employees was obtained, offering a base for the needed health strategy and the action definition[ 17].

Risk factor measurement has an important implication not only for estimation of the prevalence, but for identification and management. Our results have shown that certain unfavorable habits exist among university staff and employees; all are modifiable cardiovascular risk factors. Among these habits, smoking, with an overall prevalence of $24.4 \%$, is more prevalent among men ( $28.2 \%$ ) than women ( $15.4 \%$ ) (cigarette and/or shesha). In our study, the prevalence of smoking habits among men is higher than previously published results [ $18: 19$ ] which was around $24.0 \%$, while in women it was lower. This may be due to cultural differences, as women tend to under report smoking habits.

Moreover. about $65.00 / 0$ reported to consume a high-fat diet. Men were still more likely to consume a high-fat diet than women. The nature of the questionnaire in the Health Risk Appraisal program was limited to organ meat consumption (liver and kidney) and probably, if measured by a diet questionnaire, it might have thrown light on the diet habits prevalent in our society.

Also, about half of the participants were classified as overweight; this was seen in both men and women. Our results are slightly lower than previously published studies [18] where two-thirds were reported to be overweight. This difference in proportion could be related to the difference in definition of obesity used, as their cut-off point for
body mass index was 25 for both men and women and in our study, we defined overweight as a body mass index $\geq 27.2$ for men and $\geq 26.9$ for women.

In our study, systolic hypertension accounted for $20.8 \%$ and was more pronounced among men $(24.9 \%)$ than women ( $10.9 \%$ ). These results are very high compared to previously published prevalence of hypertension[18,19]. For the diastolic blood pressure our results were still higher. These differences could be explained by the. difference in definition of hypertension used (L60/95 in previous studies vs. 140/90 in our study).

In our study, the mean cholesterol level accounted for $175.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and was nearly similar to the results previously published on the Saudi population[20]. Moreover, hypercholesterolaemia that is intimately related to CVD[21-25] accounted for $9.0 \%$ of the participants and was slightly higher in women than men but the results were not significant. Hypercholesterolaemia in women was mainly among the older age, overweight, diabetic, and current smokers.

In our model, age and smoking habits were the risk factors probably associated with hypercholesterolaemia. Those of 40 years and over were at about triple risk, and the current smokers were at about double risk.

The risk of development of CVD over five years (considering the serum cholesterol, diastolic blood pressure, number of cigarettes/day and age) has shown that $19.3 \%$ were at highest risk in developing disease in the coming years. The results should be regarded with caution as in previous studies[21], Fifty percent of those classified as highest risk actually developed CVD at a later time. CVD is known to be a multifactorial disease[1] in which various factors participate according to their weight. The effect of these factors could be initially hidden, but the accumulation of these factors over time manifests progressively. Men were more represented in the highest risk group- $24.0 \%$ of men compared to $8.8 \%$ of women were classified as at highest risk. Moreover, men were found to be more liable to practice unfavorable habits such as smoking and a high-fat consumption in addition to being hypertensive and diabetic. This alone or in combination with aging puts them at great risk over time.

Evidently, most of the CVD risk factors are modifiable. Our ambition is to design a prevention program intending to alter the unfavorable habits. A package of health education preventive services aimed in explaining the hazards of smoking, the benefits of a balanced diet intake, reducing weight, and the value of regular medical check-ups to control hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia and diabetes, will achieve considerable health gains in term of survival and quality of life.
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# تقيـيم المخاطر الصحيـة المواتيـة للإصـابـة بأمـراض القـــلب والجهـاز الدوري بـين منسوبي جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز ، جــدة 

بهاء أبالحيلل ، تونيق الغبرة ، زحسين البار
قسمطب المجتمع ,كلية الطب والعلو ألطبية , جامعة الملك عبدالبالعزيز ,
جـــة , الملمكة العربية السبودية

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { المستخلص . نماستخلداز معياس المخابر. الصحبة لتُتيبم الوضع الصـئي } \\
& \text { للمواظفين والعاملين ني جامعة اللك عبدالعزيزِ . البرنامج كـان مقبو لا من المن }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { يتالون أغنية ذات مستوي عالي من اللمهون . أضافـة الي ذلك شُيكل الالفراد }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { القلب والجهاز الدوري كانت أعلى من نلك التي ني الإنات . كـا شا شككل الالفراد }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { كورلـتـرول الدم ارتبط ازتباطا يعتد به إحصائيا مع الــنـ (40 ستة وأعلى) رمع }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { من لإنات معرضين للاصـابة بأمراض القلـب والجـهـانز الدوري خـالال نصغ }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { المواتية لأمراض القلب رالمهاز الدوري كمـاتعنى بالتحسين التوعري لطريعة }
\end{aligned}
$$


[^0]:    Correspondence \& reprint requests to: Dr. Bahaa A. Abalkhail, P.O. Box 6615, Jeddah 21452, Saudi Arabia.

